

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 0-14710

**XOMA Ltd.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Bermuda**  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

**2910 Seventh Street, Berkeley,  
California 94710**  
(Address of principal executive offices,  
including zip code)

**52-2154066**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**(510) 204-7200**  
(Telephone Number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting  
company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act of 1934). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class  
Common Shares, U.S. \$0.0075 par value

Outstanding at May 3, 2011  
29,773,456

**XOMA Ltd.**  
**FORM 10-Q**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		<u>Page</u>
<b>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>		
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</a>	1
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</a>	2
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</a>	3
	<a href="#">Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</a>	4
Item 2.	<a href="#">Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	13
Item 3.	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</a>	20
Item 4.	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	21
<b>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</b>		
Item 1.	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a>	21
Item 1A.	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	22
Item 2.	<a href="#">Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</a>	38
Item 3.	<a href="#">Defaults Upon Senior Securities</a>	38
Item 4.	<a href="#">Reserved</a>	38
Item 5.	<a href="#">Other Information</a>	38
Item 6.	<a href="#">Exhibits</a>	39
	<a href="#">Signatures</a>	40

**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

**XOMA Ltd.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	<b>(Note 1)</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,874	\$ 37,304
Trade and other receivables, net	10,233	20,864
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	465	712
Total current assets	67,572	58,880
Property and equipment, net	14,401	14,869
Other assets	503	503
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 82,476</b>	<b>\$ 74,252</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,746	\$ 3,581
Accrued liabilities	5,287	10,658
Deferred revenue	11,446	17,044
Warrant liabilities	1,854	4,245
Total current liabilities	22,333	35,528
Deferred revenue – long-term	9,427	1,086
Interest bearing obligation – long-term	26,241	13,694
Other long-term liabilities	272	353
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>58,273</b>	<b>50,661</b>
Shareholders' equity:		
Preference shares, \$0.05 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized		
Series A, 210,000 designated, no shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	-	-
Series B, 8,000 designated, 2,959 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (aggregate liquidation preference of \$29,600)	1	1
Common shares, \$0.0075 par value, 46,666,666 shares authorized, 29,518,896 and 28,491,318 shares outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	221	214
Additional paid-in capital	883,626	876,686
Accumulated deficit	(859,645)	(853,310)
Total shareholders' equity	24,203	23,591
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 82,476</b>	<b>\$ 74,252</b>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.*

*(Note 1) The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 has been derived from the audited financial statements as of that date included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.*

**XOMA Ltd.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(unaudited)**  
**(in thousands, except per share amounts)**

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Revenues:		
License and collaborative fees	\$ 5,827	\$ 189
Contract and other revenue	9,661	6,811
Royalties	107	202
Total revenues	<u>15,595</u>	<u>7,202</u>
Operating expenses:		
Research and development	17,347	17,587
Selling, general and administrative	5,369	5,553
Total operating expenses	<u>22,716</u>	<u>23,140</u>
Loss from operations	(7,121)	(15,938)
Other income (expense):		
Investment and interest income	10	3
Interest expense	(532)	(87)
Other income (expense)	1,323	(5,763)
Net loss before taxes	<u>(6,320)</u>	<u>(21,785)</u>
Provision for income tax expense	(15)	-
Net loss	<u>\$ (6,335)</u>	<u>\$ (21,785)</u>
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	<u>\$ (0.22)</u>	<u>\$ (1.36)</u>
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per common share	<u>29,180</u>	<u>15,966</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.*

**XOMA Ltd.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(unaudited)**  
**(in thousands)**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (6,335)	\$ (21,785)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	1,346	1,511
Common shares contribution to 401(k) and management incentive plans	1,046	905
Share-based compensation expense	1,772	961
Accrued interest on interest bearing obligations	231	81
Revaluation of warrant liabilities	(2,390)	1,261
Warrant modification expense	-	4,500
Amortization of discount on long-term debt	298	-
Unrealized loss on foreign currency exchange	1,619	-
Other non-cash adjustments	10	1
Changes in assets and liabilities affecting cash:		
Receivables	10,631	(561)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	247	(207)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(5,429)	(1,340)
Deferred revenue	(6,156)	(1,561)
Other liabilities	(90)	(144)
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(3,200)</u>	<u>(16,378)</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchase of property and equipment	(888)	(107)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(888)</u>	<u>(107)</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	20,102	-
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	4,129	25,493
Payment for modification of warrants	-	(4,500)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>24,231</u>	<u>20,993</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(573)	-
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	20,143	4,508
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	37,304	23,909
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period	<u>\$ 56,874</u>	<u>\$ 28,417</u>
<b>Supplemental Cash Flow Information:</b>		
Cash paid during the quarter for:		
Income taxes	\$ 15	\$ -
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Discount on long-term debt	\$ (8,899)	\$ -
Issuance and extinguishment of warrant liabilities	\$ -	\$ 1,767

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.*

**XOMA Ltd.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(unaudited)**

**1. Description of Business**

XOMA Ltd. (“XOMA” or the “Company”), a Bermuda company, is a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development and manufacture of therapeutic antibodies designed to treat inflammatory, autoimmune, infectious and oncological diseases. The Company’s products are presently in various stages of development and are subject to regulatory approval before they can be commercially launched.

**2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies**

**Basis of Presentation**

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of XOMA and its subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions were eliminated during consolidation. The unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. These financial statements and related disclosures have been prepared with the assumption that users of the interim financial information have read or have access to the audited financial statements for the preceding fiscal year. Accordingly, these statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on March 10, 2011.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are necessary to present fairly the Company’s consolidated financial position as of March 31, 2011, the consolidated results of the Company’s operations and the Company’s cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The interim results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results that may occur for the full fiscal year or future periods.

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosures. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates including, but not limited to, those related to revenue recognition, long-lived assets, warrant liabilities and share-based compensation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other market-specific and other relevant assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ significantly from these estimates.

**Concentration of Risk**

Cash equivalents and receivables are financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, as well as liquidity risk for certain cash equivalents such as money market funds. The Company has not encountered such issues during 2011.

The Company has not experienced any significant credit losses and does not generally require collateral on receivables. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, two customers represented 51% and 44% of total revenue and 66% and 20% of the accounts receivable balance.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, two different customers represented 49% and 38% of total revenues. As of December 31, 2010, there were receivables outstanding from two customers representing 72% and 23% of the accounts receivable balance.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In March of 2010, Accounting Standards Codification Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition* was amended to define a milestone and clarify that the milestone method of revenue recognition is a valid application of the proportional performance model when applied to research or development arrangements. Accordingly, a Company can make an accounting policy election to recognize a payment that is contingent upon the achievement of a substantive milestone in its entirety in the period in which the milestone is achieved. This guidance was adopted effective January 1, 2011 on a prospective basis and did not have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

### 3. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement Detail

#### Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is equal to net loss for both the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

#### Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic and diluted net loss per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

Potentially dilutive securities are excluded from the calculation of earnings per share if their inclusion is anti-dilutive. The following table shows the total outstanding securities considered anti-dilutive and therefore excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Options for common shares	2,638	1,223
Convertible preference shares	254	254
Warrants for common shares	1,607	1,607
Total	<u>4,499</u>	<u>3,084</u>

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, all outstanding securities were considered anti-dilutive, and therefore the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per share was the same.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, cash equivalents consisted of overnight deposits, money market funds and repurchase agreements with maturities of less than 90 days at the date of purchase. Cash and cash equivalent balances were recorded at fair value as follows as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>			
	<b>Cost Basis</b>	<b>Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>
Cash	\$ 7,103	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,103
Cash equivalents	49,771	-	-	49,771
Total cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 56,874</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 56,874</u>

	<b>December 31, 2010</b>			
	<b>Cost Basis</b>	<b>Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>
Cash	\$ 29,536	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,536
Cash equivalents	7,768	-	-	7,768
Total cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 37,304</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 37,304</u>

**Receivables**

Receivables consisted of the following at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
Trade receivables, net	\$ 9,659	\$ 20,309
Other receivables	574	555
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,233</b>	<b>\$ 20,864</b>

**Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consisted of the following at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
Furniture and equipment	\$ 32,256	\$ 31,700
Buildings, leasehold and building improvements	21,462	21,463
Construction-in-progress	412	203
Land	310	310
	<b>54,440</b>	<b>53,676</b>
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(40,039 )	(38,807 )
<b>Property and equipment, net</b>	<b>\$ 14,401</b>	<b>\$ 14,869</b>

Depreciation expense was \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**Accrued Liabilities**

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
Accrued payroll and other benefits	\$ 2,360	\$ 2,752
Accrued management incentive compensation	1,138	4,982
Accrued professional fees	537	1,020
Accrued clinical trial costs	241	1,020
Other	1,011	884
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5,287</b>	<b>\$ 10,658</b>

**4. Fair Value Measurements**

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions and risk of nonperformance.

A fair value hierarchy was established which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

- Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2: Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; or
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The following tables set forth the Company's fair value hierarchy for its financial assets (cash equivalents) and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were classified as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2011 Using</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</b>	<b>Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>
Repurchase agreements <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 23,729	\$ 23,729	\$ -	\$ -
Money market funds <sup>(1)</sup>	26,042	26,042	-	-
Warrant liabilities	(1,854)	-	-	(1,854)
Total	<u>\$ 47,917</u>	<u>\$ 49,771</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ (1,854)</u>

	<b>Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</b>	<b>Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>
Repurchase agreements <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,428	\$ 1,428	\$ -	\$ -
Money market funds <sup>(1)</sup>	6,340	6,340	-	-
Warrant liabilities	(4,245)	-	-	(4,245)
Total	<u>\$ 3,523</u>	<u>\$ 7,768</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ (4,245)</u>

(1) Included in cash and cash equivalents

The fair value of the warrant liabilities was determined at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 using the Black-Scholes Model, which requires inputs such as the expected term of the warrants, share price volatility and risk-free interest rate. These inputs are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop.

The fair value of the warrant liabilities was estimated using the following range of assumptions at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
Expected volatility	99.0 - 100.6%	93.5 - 94.9%
Risk-free interest rate	1.3%	2.0%
Expected term	3.7 - 3.9 years	3.9 - 4.1 years

The following table provides a summary of changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	<b>Warrant Liabilities at March 31, 2011</b>
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 4,245
Net decrease in fair value of warrant liabilities on revaluation	(2,391)
Balance at March 31, 2011	<u>\$ 1,854</u>

The net decrease of \$2.4 million in the estimated fair value of the warrant liabilities was recognized as a gain in the other income (expense) line of the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized a net increase of \$1.3 million in the estimated fair value of the warrant liabilities as a loss in the other income (expense) line of the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

## 5. Licensing, Collaborative and Other Arrangements

### *Servier*

In December of 2010, the Company entered into a license and collaboration agreement with Servier, to jointly develop and commercialize XOMA 052 in multiple indications, which provided for a non-refundable upfront payment of \$15 million that was received by the Company in January of 2011. The upfront payment will be recognized over the estimated eight month period that the initial group of deliverables will be provided to Servier. The Company recognized \$5.5 million in revenue relating to this upfront payment in the three months ended March 31, 2011. In addition, the Company received a loan of €15 million, which was fully funded in January of 2011, with the proceeds converting to approximately \$19.5 million (refer to Note 6 below). In addition, the Company retains development and commercialization rights for Behcet's uveitis and other inflammatory and oncology indications in the U.S. and Japan, and an option to reacquire rights to diabetes and cardiovascular disease indications from Servier in these territories. Servier will fully fund activities to advance the global clinical development and future commercialization of XOMA 052 in diabetes and cardiovascular related diseases, as well as the first \$50 million of future XOMA 052 global clinical development and chemistry and manufacturing controls expenses and 50% of further expenses for the Behcet's uveitis indication, which is expected to advance into Phase 3 development in 2011. In the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded revenue of \$7.5 million under this agreement, which included the revenue relating to the upfront payment.

### *Merck/Schering-Plough*

In May of 2006, the Company entered into a fully funded collaboration agreement with Schering-Plough Research Institute, a division of Schering Corporation, now a subsidiary of Merck ("Merck/Schering-Plough") for therapeutic monoclonal antibody discovery and development. Under the agreement, Merck/Schering-Plough made up-front, annual maintenance and milestone payments to the Company, funded its research and development activities related to the agreement and would have paid royalties on sales of products resulting from the collaboration. During the collaboration, the Company discovered therapeutic antibodies against multiple targets selected by Merck/Schering-Plough using multiple human antibody phage display libraries, optimized antibodies through affinity maturation or other protein engineering, used the Company's proprietary HE™ technology to humanize antibody candidates generated by hybridoma techniques, performed preclinical studies to support regulatory filings, developed cell lines and production processes and produced antibodies for initial clinical trials. Merck/Schering-Plough selected the first target at the inception of the agreement and, in December of 2006, exercised its right to initiate the additional discovery and development programs. In January of 2011, the Company successfully completed the services to Merck/Schering-Plough and the collaboration agreement is now complete.

## 6. Long-Term Debt and Other Financings

### Long-Term Debt

#### *Novartis Note*

In May of 2005, the Company executed a secured note agreement with Novartis (then Chiron Corporation), which is due and payable in full in June of 2015. Under this note agreement, the Company borrowed semi-annually to fund up to 75% of the Company's research and development and commercialization costs under its collaboration arrangement with Novartis, not to exceed \$50 million in aggregate principal amount. Interest on the principal amount of the loan accrues at six-month LIBOR plus 2%, which was equal to 2.46% at March 31, 2011, and is payable semi-annually in June and December of each year. At the Company's election, the semi-annual interest payments can be added to the outstanding principal amount, in lieu of a cash payment, as long as the aggregate principal amount does not exceed \$50 million. The Company has made this election for all interest payments thus far. Loans under the note agreement are secured by the Company's interest in the collaboration with Novartis, including any payments owed to it thereunder.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the outstanding principal balance under this note agreement was \$13.7 million. Pursuant to the terms of the arrangement as restructured in November of 2008, the Company will not make any additional borrowings under the Novartis note. Due to the structure of the secured note agreement with Novartis and since there is no liquid market for this obligation, there is no practical method to estimate fair value of this long-term debt.

#### *Servier Loan*

In December of 2010, in connection with the license and collaboration agreement entered into with Servier (see footnote 5), the Company executed a loan agreement with Servier, which provided for an advance of up to €15 million. The loan was fully funded in January of 2011, with the proceeds converting to approximately \$19.5 million. The loan is secured by an interest in XOMA's intellectual property rights to all XOMA 052 indications worldwide, excluding certain rights in the U.S. and Japan. Interest is calculated at a floating rate based on a Euro Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("EURIBOR") and subject to a cap. The interest rate is reset semi-annually in January and July of each year. The interest rate for the initial interest period is 3.22%. Interest is payable semi-annually; however, the loan agreement provides for a deferral of interest payments over a period specified in the agreement. During the deferral period, accrued interest will be added to the outstanding principal amount for the purpose of interest calculation for the next six-month interest period. On the repayment commencement date, all unpaid and accrued interest shall be paid to Servier and thereafter, all accrued and unpaid interest shall be due and payable at the end of each six-month period. The loan matures in 2016; however, after a specified period prior to final maturity, the loan is to be repaid (i) at Servier's option, by applying up to a significant percentage of any milestone or royalty payments owed by Servier under the Company's collaboration agreement and (ii) using a significant percentage of any upfront, milestone or royalty payments the Company receives from any third party collaboration or development partner for rights to XOMA 052 in the U.S. and/or Japan. In addition, the loan becomes immediately due and payable upon certain customary events of default. At March 31, 2011, the outstanding principal balance under this loan was \$21.1 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded an unrealized loss of \$1.6 million related to the re-measurement of the loan as of March 31, 2011.

The loan has a stated interest rate lower than the market rate based on comparable loans held by similar companies, which represents additional value to the Company. The Company recorded this additional value as a discount to the face value of the loan amount, at its fair value of \$8.9 million. The fair value of this discount was determined using a discounted cash flow model of the differential between the stated terms and rates of the loan and market rates. Based on the association of the loan with the collaboration arrangement, the Company recorded the offset to this discount as deferred revenue.

The loan discount is being amortized, using the effective interest method, over the expected five-year life of the loan. The Company recorded non-cash interest expense of \$0.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011 resulting from the amortization of the loan discount. At March 31, 2011, the net carrying value of the loan was \$12.6 million.

The Company believes that realization of the benefit and the associated deferred revenue is contingent on the loan remaining outstanding over the five-year contractual term of the loan. If the Company were to stop providing service under the collaboration arrangement and the arrangement is terminated, the maturity date of the loan would be accelerated and a portion of measured benefit would not be realized. As the realization of the benefit is contingent, in part, on the provision of future services, the Company is recognizing the deferred revenue over the expected five-year life of the loan. The deferred revenue is being amortized, using the effective interest method, and the Company recorded approximately \$0.3 million of related non-cash revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the Novartis note and Servier loan are shown below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
<b>Interest expense</b>		
Novartis note	\$ 84	\$ 81
Servier loan	442	-
Other	6	6
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>\$ 532</b>	<b>\$ 87</b>

### Other Financings

#### ATM Agreements

In the third quarter of 2010, the Company entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the "2010 ATM Agreement"), with Wm Smith & Co. and McNicoll, Lewis & Vlax LLC (the "Agents"), under which the Company may sell common shares from time to time through the Agents, as its agents for the offer and sale of the common shares, in an aggregate amount not to exceed the amount that can be sold under its registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-148342) filed with the SEC on December 26, 2007. The Agents may sell the common shares by any method permitted by law deemed to be an "at the market" offering as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), including without limitation sales made directly on The NASDAQ Global Market, on any other existing trading market for the common shares or to or through a market maker. The Agents may also sell the common shares in privately negotiated transactions, subject to the Company's prior approval. The Company will pay the Agents, collectively, a commission equal to 3% of the gross proceeds of the sales price of all common shares sold through them as sales agents under the 2010 ATM Agreement. From the inception of the 2010 ATM Agreement through March 31, 2011, the Company sold a total of 7,536,374 common shares under this agreement for aggregate gross proceeds of \$33.9 million, including 796,898 common shares sold in the first quarter of 2011 for aggregate gross proceeds of \$4.3 million. Total offering expenses incurred related to sales under the 2010 ATM Agreement from inception to March 31, 2011 were \$1.0 million, including \$0.1 million incurred in the first quarter of 2011. As of March 31, 2011, \$12.2 million of common stock remained available to be sold under the 2010 ATM Agreement.

On February 4, 2011, the Company entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the "2011 ATM Agreement"), with McNicoll, Lewis & Vlax LLC ("MLV"), under which the Company may sell common shares from time to time through MLV, as its agent for the offer and sale of the common shares, in an aggregate amount not to exceed the amount that can be sold under the Company's registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-172197) filed with the SEC on February 11, 2011, once such registration statement has been declared effective by the SEC. MLV may sell the common shares by any method permitted by law deemed to be an "at the market" offering as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act, including without limitation sales made directly on The NASDAQ Global Market, on any other existing trading market for the common shares or to or through a market maker. MLV may also sell the common shares in privately negotiated transactions, subject to the Company's prior approval. The Company will pay MLV a commission equal to 3% of the gross proceeds of the sales price of all common shares sold through them as sales agent under the 2011 ATM Agreement. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had not sold any common shares under the 2011 ATM Agreement.

### 7. Income Taxes

Income tax expense was not material for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The Company's effective tax rate will fluctuate from period to period due to several factors inherent in the nature of the Company's operations and business transactions. The factors that most significantly impact this rate include the variability of licensing transactions in foreign jurisdictions. The Company did not recognize any income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

## 8. Share-Based Compensation

In December of 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a company-wide grant of share options under the Company's 2010 Long Term Incentive and Share Award Plan ("LTIP") and, in the first quarter of 2011, the options for 1,430,840 shares became effective. 1,040,220 of these options were granted subject to shareholder approval of an increase in the number of shares available under the LTIP and are not included in the options outstanding or granted disclosures or in share-based compensation expense as they are not deemed granted for accounting purposes until the foregoing shareholder approval. The Company will record a cumulative adjustment for share-based compensation expense based on the fair value of these options on the date of approval. A portion of the options granted to employees in the three months ended March 31, 2011 include immediate vesting terms with the remainder of the options vesting monthly over two years.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had approximately 332,674 common shares reserved for future issuance under its share option plans and Employee Share Purchase Plan, excluding the 1,040,220 options granted subject to shareholder approval, as discussed above.

The following table shows total share-based compensation expense included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Research and development	\$ 1,061	\$ 457
Selling, general and administrative	711	504
<b>Total share-based compensation expense</b>	<b>\$ 1,772</b>	<b>\$ 961</b>

The valuation of share-based compensation awards is determined at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes Model. This model requires inputs such as the expected term of the option, expected volatility and risk-free interest rate. Further, the forfeiture rate also affects the amount of aggregate compensation. These inputs are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop. While estimates of the expected term, volatility and forfeiture rate are derived primarily from the Company's historical data, the risk-free rate is based on the yield available on United States Treasury zero-coupon issues. The fair value of share-based awards was estimated based on the following weighted average assumptions for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	86%	79%
Risk-free interest rate	2.20%	2.60%
Expected term	5.6 years	5.6 years

Share option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was as follows:

	<b>Options</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)</b>
Options outstanding at December 31, 2010	2,331,450	\$ 25.36	7.71	\$ 99
Granted	390,620	5.78		
Forfeited, expired or cancelled	(35,986)	73.93		
Options outstanding at March 31, 2011	2,686,084	\$ 21.86	7.77	\$ 10
Options exercisable at March 31, 2011	1,563,327	\$ 30.11	7.01	\$ 1

## 9. Legal Proceedings, Commitments and Contingencies

On April 9, 2009, a complaint was filed in the Superior Court of Alameda County, California, in a lawsuit captioned Hedrick et al. v. Genentech, Inc. et al, Case No. 09-446158. The complaint asserts claims against Genentech, the Company and others for alleged strict liability for failure to warn, strict product liability, negligence, breach of warranty, fraudulent concealment, wrongful death and other claims based on injuries alleged to have occurred as a result of three individuals' treatment with RAPTIVA®. The complaint seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. Since then, additional complaints have been filed in the same court, bringing the total number of pending cases to seventy five. All of the complaints allege the same claims and seek the same types of damages based on injuries alleged to have occurred as a result of the plaintiffs' treatment with RAPTIVA®. The Company's agreement with Genentech provides for an indemnity of XOMA and payment of legal fees by Genentech which the Company believes is applicable to these matters. The Company believes the claims against it to be without merit and intends to defend against them vigorously.

On January 7, 2011, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas in a case captioned Massa v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 4:11CV70. On January 11, 2011, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in a case captioned Sylvia, et al. v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 1:11-cv-10054-MLW. On April 8, 2011, four complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The cases are captioned: Muniz v. Genentech, et al., 5:11-cv-11489-JCO-RSW; Tifenthal v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11488-DPH-LJM; Blair v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11463-SFC-MJH; and Marsh v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11462-RHC-MKM. These six complaints allege the same claims against Genentech, the Company and others and seek the same types of damages as the complaints filed in the Superior Court of Alameda County, California referenced above. The Company's agreement with Genentech provides for an indemnity of XOMA and payment of legal fees by Genentech which the Company believes is applicable to these matters. The Company believes the claims against it to be without merit and intends to defend against them vigorously.

On August 4, 2010, a petition was filed in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas in a case captioned McCall v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 10-09544. The defendants filed a Notice of Removal to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas on September 3, 2010. The removed case is captioned McCall v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 3:10-cv-01747-B. The parties have fully briefed the plaintiff's Motion to Remand and are awaiting a final ruling from the Court. The petition asserts personal injury claims against Genentech, the Company, and others arising out of the plaintiff's treatment with RAPTIVA®. The petition alleges claims based on negligence, strict liability, misrepresentation and suppression, conspiracy, and actual and constructive fraud. The petition seeks compensatory damages and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. The Company's agreement with Genentech provides for an indemnity of XOMA and payment of legal fees by Genentech which the Company believes is applicable to this matter. The Company believes the claims against it to be without merit and intends to defend against them vigorously.

There were no developments material to the Company in the United States Bankruptcy Court proceedings involving Apton Corporation (described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010) during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

## 10. Subsequent Events

In April of 2011, the 2,959 shares of the Company's Series B convertible preference shares previously issued to Genentech were converted by Genentech into 254,560 common shares. Prior to the conversion into common shares, the Series B convertible preference shares had a liquidation preference of \$29.6 million, which has now been eliminated.

In May of 2011, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, part of the National Institutes of Health, informed the Company that it was initiating a Phase 1 trial of XOMA 3AB, a novel formulation of three antibodies designed to prevent and treat botulism poisoning. This double-blind, dose-escalation study in approximately 24 healthy volunteers is designed to assess the safety and tolerability, and determine the pharmacokinetic profile, of XOMA 3AB.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The accompanying discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements and the related disclosures, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates including, but not limited to, those related to terms of revenue recognition, long-lived assets, warrant liabilities and share-based compensation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other market-specific and other relevant assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ significantly from these estimates.

### Overview

We are a leader in the discovery, development and manufacture of therapeutic antibodies designed to treat autoimmune, infectious, inflammatory and oncological diseases. Our proprietary development pipeline includes XOMA 052, an antibody that inhibits interleukin-1 beta ("IL-1 beta") which is expected to advance into Phase 3 development for the treatment of Behcet's uveitis and is in Phase 2 clinical development for Type 2 diabetes with cardiovascular biomarkers; XOMA 3AB, a biodefense anti-botulism product candidate comprised of a combination, or cocktail, of antibodies; and preclinical antibody discovery programs in several indications, including autoimmune, cardio-metabolic, inflammatory, and oncological diseases. We have a fully integrated product development platform, extending from preclinical science, clinical development to scale-up development, and manufacturing.

In December of 2010, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement with Les Laboratoires Servier ("Servier"), to jointly develop and commercialize XOMA 052 in multiple indications. XOMA 052 is designed to inhibit the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1 beta that is believed to be a primary trigger of pathologic inflammation in multiple diseases.

Our biodefense initiatives currently include a \$65 million multiple-year contract funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases ("NIAID"), a part of the National Institutes of Health ("NIH"), to support our ongoing development of anti-botulism antibody product candidates toward clinical trials in the treatment of botulism poisoning. This contract is the third that NIAID has awarded us for the development of botulinum antitoxins and brings the program's total awards to nearly \$100 million. We also develop products with premier pharmaceutical companies including Novartis AG ("Novartis") and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited ("Takeda").

We have a premier antibody discovery and development platform that incorporates a collection of antibody phage display libraries and proprietary Human Engineering™, affinity maturation, Bacterial Cell Expression ("BCE") and manufacturing technologies that enhance our ability and that of our collaboration and development partners to discover and develop new therapeutic antibodies. BCE is a key biotechnology for the discovery and manufacturing of antibodies and other proteins. To date, more than 50 pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies have signed BCE licenses, and a number of licensed product candidates are in clinical development. We continue to develop and commercialize additional antibody-related technologies including proprietary display technologies to enable antibody discovery and optimization. Our technologies have contributed to the success of marketed antibody products, including LUCENTIS® (ranibizumab injection) for wet age-related macular degeneration and CIMZIA® (certolizumab pegol) for rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn's disease.

### Significant Developments in 2011

#### *XOMA 052*

- In December of 2010, we entered into an agreement with Servier to jointly develop and commercialize XOMA 052 in multiple indications. In connection with this agreement, Servier will fully fund the first \$50 million of future XOMA 052 global clinical development and chemistry and manufacturing controls expenses, and 50% of further expenses, for the Behcet's uveitis indication, which is expected to advance into Phase 3 development in 2011.
- In January of 2011, we received the full €15 million advance allowed under our loan agreement with Servier dated December 30, 2010, converting to proceeds of approximately \$19.5 million.
- In January of 2011, we announced that we had conducted an interim review of three-month data from our six-month Phase 2a trial in 74 patients where XOMA 052 was shown to be well-tolerated with no significant differences in adverse events, lab abnormalities and vital signs between XOMA 052 and placebo and no drug-related adverse events. At the time of this three-month review, evidence of biological activity was observed including a reduction in C-reactive protein ("CRP") levels and a modest reduction in hemoglobin A1c ("HbA1c") levels. CRP is a biomarker for the risk of heart attack, stroke and other cardiovascular diseases, and HbA1c is a measure indirectly reflecting blood glucose levels as averaged over a period of 90 to 120 days. We expect final results in the second quarter of 2011.

In March of 2011, we announced that our Phase 2b trial of XOMA 052 in Type 2 diabetes in 421 patients did not achieve the primary endpoint of reduction in HbA1c after six monthly treatments with XOMA 052 compared to placebo. Significant decreases were observed in CRP in all dose groups versus placebo. In addition, significant improvements in high-density lipoprotein, or “good” cholesterol, were observed in two of four XOMA 052 dose groups versus placebo. XOMA 052 was well-tolerated in this trial, with no serious drug-related adverse events and a safety profile consistent with previous trials.

**Financings**

In the first quarter of 2011, we sold 796,898 common shares through Wm Smith & Co. (“Wm Smith”) and McNicoll, Lewis & Vlak LLC (“MLV”), under our At Market Issuance Sales Agreement dated October 26, 2010 (the “2010 ATM Agreement”), for aggregate gross proceeds of \$4.3 million.

**Results of Operations**

**Revenues**

Total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
License and collaborative fees	\$ 5,827	\$ 189
Contract and other revenue	9,661	6,811
Royalties	107	202
Total revenues	<u>\$ 15,595</u>	<u>\$ 7,202</u>

*License and Collaborative Fees*

License and collaborative fee revenue includes fees and milestone payments related to the out-licensing of our products and technologies. The increase in license and collaborative fee revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the same period of 2010, was primarily due to \$5.8 million in revenue recognized in the first quarter of 2011 related to the collaboration and loan agreements with Servier to jointly develop and commercialize XOMA 052 in multiple indications. The generation of future revenue related to license fees and other collaborative arrangements is dependent on our ability to attract new licensees to our antibody and bacterial cell expression technologies and new collaboration partners. In connection with the collaboration agreement with Servier, we expect to experience an increase in these revenues for 2011 compared to 2010.

*Contract and Other Revenue*

Contract and other revenue includes agreements where we provide contracted research and development and manufacturing services to our contract and collaboration partners, including NIAID, Servier and Takeda. The following table shows the activity in contract and other revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	
NIAID	\$ 6,884	\$ 3,512	\$ 3,372
Servier	2,082	-	2,082
Takeda	288	2,759	(2,471)
SRI International	356	339	17
Other	51	201	(150)
Total revenues	<u>\$ 9,661</u>	<u>\$ 6,811</u>	<u>\$ 2,850</u>

The increase in revenue from our NIAID Contract No. HHSN272200800028C (“NIAID 3”) was due to increased activity under the contract. XOMA 052 clinical development and chemistry and manufacturing control activity under the collaboration with Servier also contributed to the increase in contract revenue. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in revenue from our Takeda contracts in 2011 as a result of the cessation of certain Takeda programs in 2010.

Based on expected levels of revenue generating activity related to our NIAID 3 and Servier contracts, as well as our subcontract awards from SRI International, we expect contract and other revenue to increase in 2011 compared to 2010.

*Royalties*

Revenue from royalties decreased by \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period of 2010, primarily due to the sale of our CIMZIA® royalty interest in the third quarter of 2010. Royalties earned from sales of CIMZIA® during the first quarter of 2010 were \$0.2 million. We will not receive any further royalties on sales of CIMZIA®.

*Research and Development Expenses*

Biopharmaceutical development includes a series of steps, including *in vitro* and *in vivo* preclinical testing, and Phase 1, 2 and 3 clinical studies in humans. Each of these steps is typically more expensive than the previous step, but actual timing and the cost to us depends on the product being tested, the nature of the potential disease indication and the terms of any collaborative or development arrangements with other companies or entities. After successful conclusion of all of these steps, regulatory filings for approval to market the products must be completed, including approval of manufacturing processes and facilities for the product. Our research and development expenses currently include costs of personnel, supplies, facilities and equipment, consultants, third party costs and other expenses related to preclinical and clinical testing.

Research and development expenses were \$17.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared with \$17.6 million for the same period of 2010. The decrease of \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, was primarily due to decreased spending on XOMA 052 related clinical trials as the Phase 2 clinical program in Type 2 diabetes was nearing completion. Partially offsetting this decrease was an increase in spending on NIAID 3 in the first quarter of 2011 due to increased activity under the contract.

Salaries and related personnel costs are a significant component of research and development expenses. We recorded \$8.8 million in research and development salaries and employee-related expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared with \$7.0 million for the same period of 2010. The increase of \$1.8 million was primarily due to an increase in salaries and benefits of \$1.1 million from a higher employee headcount related to manufacturing and an increase in share-based compensation of \$0.6 million.

Our research and development activities can be divided into earlier stage programs and later stage programs. Earlier stage programs include molecular biology, process development, pilot-scale production and preclinical testing. Also included in earlier stage programs are costs related to excess manufacturing capacity, which we expect will decrease in 2011 due to the execution of the collaboration agreement with Servier, resulting in increased manufacturing capacity requirements. Later stage programs include clinical testing, regulatory affairs and manufacturing clinical supplies. The approximate costs associated with these programs for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Earlier stage programs	\$ 12,957	\$ 11,174
Later stage programs	4,390	6,413
Total	<u>\$ 17,347</u>	<u>\$ 17,587</u>

Our research and development activities can also be divided into those related to our internal projects and those projects related to collaborative and contract arrangements. The approximate costs related to internal projects and collaborative and contract arrangements for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Internal projects	\$ 8,886	\$ 13,686
Collaborative and contract arrangements	8,461	3,901
Total	<u>\$ 17,347</u>	<u>\$ 17,587</u>

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the program upon which we incurred the largest amount of expense (NIAID) accounted for more than 30% but less than 40% of our total research and development expense, and XOMA 052 accounted for more than 20% but less than 30% of our total research and development expense. All remaining development programs accounted for less than 10% of our total research and development expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, the program upon which we incurred the largest amount of expense (XOMA 052) accounted for more than 30% but less than 40%, and NIAID accounted for more than 10% but less than 20% of our total research and development expenses. All remaining development programs accounted for less than 10% of our total research and development expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

We expect our research and development spending in 2011 compared to 2010 will increase primarily due to the expected initiation of our Phase 3 clinical program for XOMA 052 for the Behcet's uveitis indication under our collaboration agreement with Servier, as well as increased activity under our biodefense contracts.

Future research and development spending may be impacted by potential new licensing or collaboration or development arrangements, as well as the termination of existing agreements. Beyond this, the scope and magnitude of future research and development expenses are difficult to predict at this time.

#### ***Selling, General and Administrative Expenses***

Selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries and related personnel costs, facilities costs and professional fees. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$5.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared with \$5.6 million for the same period of 2010. The \$0.2 million decrease for the first quarter of 2011, as compared to the same period of 2010, was primarily due to a decrease in professional fees of \$0.2 million, related to financing fees in connection with the underwritten offering in the first quarter of 2010, and other decreases due to our continued focus on cost control.

#### ***Other Income (Expense)***

Interest expense was \$0.5 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in interest expense of \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the same period of 2010, was primarily due to interest expense incurred as a result of the loan with Servier, which was funded in January of 2011. Refer to *Liquidity and Capital Resources: Servier Loan* below for further discussion of the loan with Servier.

Other income (expense) was \$1.3 million and (\$5.8 million) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The difference in other income (expense) was primarily due to a \$2.4 million gain recognized in the three months ended March 31, 2011 relating to the revaluation of our warrant liabilities during the period, compared to a loss on warrant revaluation of \$1.3 million during the same period in 2010. Partially offsetting this difference was a net foreign exchange loss of \$1.0 million, which included a \$1.6 million unrealized foreign exchange loss from the re-measurement of the €15 million Servier loan at March 31, 2011 and a realized foreign exchange gain of \$0.6 million relating to the conversion into U.S. dollars ("USD") of the €15 million cash proceeds received from Servier in January of 2011. In addition, in the first quarter of 2010, we paid \$4.5 million to holders of warrants issued in June of 2009, upon modification of terms, resulting in a loss.

### **Warrant Liabilities**

In February of 2010, we issued warrants to purchase 1,260,000 of XOMA's common shares in connection with an underwritten offering. We have accounted for the warrants issued in February of 2010 as a liability at fair value. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the warrant liabilities was \$3.5 million, estimated using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model (the "Black-Scholes Model"). We revalued the warrant liability at March 31, 2011 using the Black-Scholes Model and recorded a decrease in the fair value of \$1.9 million as a gain in the other income (expense) line of our condensed consolidated statement of operations. As of March 31, 2011 all of these warrants were outstanding and the fair value of the warrant liability was \$1.6 million.

In June of 2009, we issued warrants to certain institutional investors as part of a registered direct offering. The warrants represent the right to acquire an aggregate of up to 347,826 common shares over a five year period beginning December 11, 2009 at an exercise price of \$19.50 per share. We have accounted for the warrants issued in June of 2009 as a liability at fair value. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the warrant liabilities was \$0.8 million, estimated using the Black-Scholes Model. We revalued the warrant liability at March 31, 2011 using the Black-Scholes Model and recorded a decrease in the fair value of \$0.5 million as a gain in the other income (expense) line of our condensed consolidated statement of operations. As of March 31, 2011 all of these warrants were outstanding and the fair value of the warrant liability was \$0.3 million.

### **Income Taxes**

Income tax expense was not material for the three months ended March 31, 2011. No income tax expense was recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Accounting Standards Codification Topic 740, *Income Taxes* provides for the recognition of deferred tax assets if realization of such assets is more likely than not. Based upon the weight of available evidence, which includes our historical operating performance and carry-back potential, we have determined that total deferred tax assets should be fully offset by a valuation allowance.

We did not have unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2011 and do not expect this to change significantly over the next twelve months. We will recognize future interest and penalties accrued on any unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. As of March 31, 2011, we have not accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2011 were \$56.9 million compared with \$37.3 million at December 31, 2010. Net cash used in operating activities was \$3.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared with \$16.4 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease in cash used in operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to same period of 2010, was primarily due to an increase in revenue receipts for license and collaborative fees, including the receipt of the \$15 million license fee as consideration for the collaboration with Servier, and an increase in contract revenue receipts in the first quarter of 2011, and the timing of collections of accounts receivable.

In the first quarter of 2011, net accounts payable and accrued liabilities decreased by \$5.4 million primarily due to the payment in the period of employee bonuses for 2010.

Comparatively, for the three months ended March 31, 2010, net accounts payable and accrued liabilities decreased by \$1.3 million primarily related to the payment in the period of employee bonuses for 2009, and deferred revenue decreased by \$1.6 million primarily due to the accelerated recognition of the remaining \$1.1 million of the unamortized balance in deferred revenue pertaining to a discontinued discovery and development program under our collaboration with Takeda.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared with \$0.1 million for the same period of 2010. Cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 consisted of fixed asset purchases.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$24.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared with \$21.0 million for the same period of 2010. Cash provided by financing activities in the first quarter of 2011 related to proceeds received from the issuance of the Servier loan for \$20.1 million and the issuance of common shares of \$4.1 million under the 2010 ATM Agreement. Cash provided by financing activities in the first quarter of 2010 related to proceeds received from the issuance of common shares of \$25.5 million, partially offset by \$4.5 million paid to the holders of warrants issued in June of 2009 upon modification of the terms.

### **Servier Loan**

In December of 2010, in connection with the license and collaboration agreement entered into with Servier, we executed a loan agreement with Servier, which provided for an advance of up to €15 million. The loan was fully funded in January of 2011, with the proceeds converting to approximately \$19.5 million. The loan is secured by an interest in XOMA's intellectual property rights to all XOMA 052 indications worldwide, excluding certain rights in the U.S. and Japan. Interest is calculated at a floating rate based on a Euro Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("EURIBOR") and subject to a cap. The interest rate is reset semi-annually in January and July of each year. The interest rate for the initial interest period is 3.22%. Interest is payable semi-annually; however, the loan agreement provides for a deferral of interest payments over a period specified in the agreement. During the deferral period, accrued interest will be added to the outstanding principal amount for the purpose of interest calculation for the next six-month interest period. On the repayment commencement date, all unpaid and accrued interest shall be paid to Servier and thereafter, all accrued and unpaid interest shall be due and payable at the end of each six-month period. The loan matures in 2016; however, after a specified period prior to final maturity, the loan is to be repaid (i) at Servier's option, by applying up to a significant percentage of any milestone or royalty payments owed by Servier under our collaboration agreement and (ii) using a significant percentage of any upfront, milestone or royalty payments we receive from any third party collaboration or development partner for rights to XOMA 052 in the U.S. and/or Japan. In addition, the loan becomes immediately due and payable upon certain customary events of default. At March 31, 2011, the outstanding principal balance under this loan was \$21.1 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded an unrealized loss of \$1.6 million related to the re-measurement of the loan as of March 31, 2011.

The loan has a stated interest rate lower than the market rate based on comparable loans held by similar companies, which represents additional value to us. We recorded this additional value as a discount to the face value of the loan amount, at its fair value of \$8.9 million. The fair value of this discount was determined using a discounted cash flow model of the differential between the stated terms and rates of the loan and market rates. Based on the association of the loan with the collaboration arrangement, we recorded the offset to this discount as deferred revenue.

The loan discount is being amortized, using the effective interest method, over the expected five-year life of the loan. We recorded non-cash interest expense of \$0.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011 resulting from the amortization of the loan discount. At March 31, 2011, the net carrying value of the loan was \$12.6 million.

We believe that realization of the benefit and the associated deferred revenue is contingent on the loan remaining outstanding over the five-year contractual term of the loan. If we were to stop providing service under the collaboration arrangement and the arrangement is terminated, the maturity date of the loan would be accelerated and a portion of measured benefit would not be realized. As the realization of the benefit is contingent, in part, on the provision of future services, we are recognizing the deferred revenue over the expected five-year life of the loan. The deferred revenue is being amortized, using the effective interest method, and we recorded approximately \$0.3 million of related non-cash revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

### **ATM Agreements**

In the third quarter of 2010, we entered into the 2010 ATM Agreement, with Wm Smith and MLV (the "Agents"), under which we may sell common shares from time to time through the Agents, as our agents for the offer and sale of the common shares, in an aggregate amount not to exceed the amount that can be sold under our registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-148342) filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on December 26, 2007 and declared effective by the SEC on May 29, 2008. The Agents may sell the common shares by any method permitted by law deemed to be an "at the market" offering as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), including without limitation sales made directly on The NASDAQ Global Market, on any other existing trading market for the common shares or to or through a market maker. The Agents may also sell the common shares in privately negotiated transactions, subject to our prior approval. From the inception of the 2010 ATM Agreement through March 31, 2011, we sold a total of 7,536,374 million common shares under this agreement for aggregate gross proceeds of \$33.9 million, including 796,898 common shares sold in the first quarter of 2011 for aggregate gross proceeds of \$4.3 million. We have not sold any additional shares through the Agents subsequent to March 31, 2011 through May 3, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, \$12.2 million of common stock remained available to be sold under the 2010 ATM Agreement.

On February 4, 2011, we entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the "2011 ATM Agreement"), with MLV, under which we may sell common shares from time to time through the MLV, as our agent for the offer and sale of the common shares, in an aggregate amount not to exceed the amount that can be sold under our registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-172197) filed with the SEC on February 11, 2011, once such registration statement has been declared effective by the SEC. MLV may sell the common shares by any method permitted by law deemed to be an "at the market" offering as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act, including without limitation sales made directly on The NASDAQ Global Market, on any other existing trading market for the common shares or to or through a market maker. MLV may also sell the common shares in privately negotiated transactions, subject to our prior approval. Through May 3, 2011, we had not sold any common shares under the 2011 ATM Agreement.

Net proceeds received during the first quarter of 2011 from the Servier loan and 2010 ATM Agreement were used to continue development of our XOMA 052 product candidate and for other working capital and general corporate purposes.

\* \* \*

We have incurred significant operating losses and negative cash flows from operations since our inception. At March 31, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of \$859.6 million, cash and cash equivalents of \$56.9 million and working capital of \$45.2 million. During the remainder of 2011, we expect to continue using our cash and cash equivalents to fund ongoing operations. Additional licensing, antibody discovery and development collaboration agreements, government funding and financing arrangements may positively impact our cash balances. Based on our cash reserves and anticipated spending levels, revenue from collaborations including the XOMA 052 collaboration agreement with Servier, funding from the loan agreement with Servier, biodefense contracts and licensing transactions and other sources of funding that we believe to be available, we estimate that we have sufficient cash resources to meet our anticipated net cash needs through the next twelve months. Any significant revenue shortfalls, increases in planned spending on development programs or more rapid progress of development programs than anticipated, as well as the unavailability of anticipated sources of funding, could shorten this period. If adequate funds are not available, we will be required to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of our product development programs and further reduce personnel-related costs. Progress or setbacks by potentially competing products may also affect our ability to raise new funding on acceptable terms.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

Critical accounting estimates are those that require significant judgment and/or estimates by management at the time that the financial statements are prepared such that materially different results might have been reported if other assumptions had been made. We consider certain accounting policies including, but not limited to, revenue recognition, long-lived assets, warrant liabilities and share-based compensation to be critical policies. There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting estimates during the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared with those previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on March 10, 2011.

#### **Subsequent Events**

In April of 2011, the 2,959 shares of our Series B convertible preference shares previously issued to Genentech were converted by Genentech into 254,560 common shares. Prior to the conversion into common shares, the Series B convertible preference shares had a liquidation preference of \$29.6 million which has now been eliminated.

In May of 2011, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, part of the National Institutes of Health, informed us that it was initiating a Phase 1 trial of XOMA 3AB, a novel formulation of three antibodies designed to prevent and treat botulism poisoning. This double-blind, dose-escalation study in approximately 24 healthy volunteers is designed to assess the safety and tolerability, and determine the pharmacokinetic profile, of XOMA 3AB.

#### **Forward-Looking Information and Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results**

Certain statements contained herein related to the sufficiency of our cash resources, the timing of availability of clinical trial results, the timing of initiation of clinical trials and the amounts of certain revenues and certain costs in comparison to prior years, or that otherwise relate to future periods, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements are based on assumptions that may not prove accurate. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated due to certain risks inherent in the biotechnology industry and for companies engaged in the development of new products in a regulated market. Among other things, the period for which our cash resources are sufficient could be shortened if expenditures are made earlier or in larger amounts than anticipated or are unanticipated, if anticipated revenue or cost sharing arrangements do not materialize, or if funds are not otherwise available on acceptable terms; results of clinical trials may be delayed or may never become available due to complications in the collection or interpretation of statistical data, unavailability of resources, actions or inactions by our present or future collaboration partners, insufficient enrollment in such trials or unanticipated safety issues; plans to initiate new clinical trials may change depending on availability of resources, actions or inactions by our present or future collaboration partners or unanticipated safety issues; and our revenues may be lower than anticipated, and our costs may be higher than expected, due to actions or inactions by our present or future collaboration partners, unanticipated safety issues or unavailability of additional licensing or collaboration opportunities. These and other risks, including those related to the generally unstable nature of current economic and market conditions; the results of discovery research and preclinical testing; the timing or results of pending and future clinical trials (including the design and progress of clinical trials; safety and efficacy of the products being tested; action, inaction or delay by the FDA, European or other regulators or their advisory bodies; and analysis or interpretation by, or submission to, these entities or others of scientific data); changes in the status of existing collaborative or licensing relationships; the ability of collaborators, licensees and other third parties to meet their obligations and their discretion in decision-making; our ability to meet the demands of the United States government agency with which we have entered our government contracts; competition; market demand for products; scale-up, manufacturing and marketing capabilities; availability of additional licensing or collaboration opportunities; international operations; share price volatility; our financing needs and opportunities; uncertainties regarding the status of biotechnology patents; uncertainties as to the costs of protecting intellectual property; and risks associated with our status as a Bermuda company, are described in more detail in *Part II — Item 1A: Risk Factors*.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK****Interest Rate Risk**

Our exposure to market rate risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our investment portfolio and our secured note and loan agreements. By policy, we make our investments in high quality debt securities, limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer and limit duration by restricting the term of the instrument. We generally hold investments to maturity, with a weighted average portfolio period of less than twelve months. However, if the need arose to liquidate such securities before maturity, we may experience losses on liquidation. We do not invest in derivative financial instruments.

We hold interest-bearing instruments that are classified as cash and cash equivalents. Fluctuations in interest rates can affect the principal values and yields of fixed income investments. If interest rates in the general economy were to rise rapidly in a short period of time, our fixed income investments could lose value.

The following table presents the amounts and related weighted average interest rates of our cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands, except interest rates):

	Maturity	Carrying Amount (in thousands)	Fair Value (in thousands)	Average Interest Rate
March 31, 2011				
Cash and cash equivalents	Daily to 90 days	\$ 56,874	\$ 56,874	0.08%
December 31, 2010				
Cash and cash equivalents	Daily to 90 days	\$ 37,304	\$ 37,304	0.09%

As of March 31, 2011, we have an outstanding principal balance on our note with Novartis of \$13.7 million, which is due in 2015. The interest rate on this note is charged at a rate of USD six-month LIBOR plus 2%, which was 2.46% at March 31, 2011. No further borrowing is available under this note.

As of March 31, 2011, we have an outstanding principal balance on our loan with Servier of €15 million, which converts to approximately \$21.1 million at March 31, 2011. The interest rate on this loan is charged at a floating rate based on a Euro Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("EURIBOR") and subject to a cap. The interest rate was equal to 3.22% at March 31, 2011. No further borrowing is available under this loan.

The variable interest rates related to our long-term debt instruments are based on LIBOR and EURIBOR. We estimate that a hypothetical 100 basis point change in interest rates could increase or decrease our interest expense by approximately \$0.4 million on an annualized basis.

**Foreign Currency Risk**

We hold debt and may incur expenses denominated in foreign currencies. The amount of debt owed or expenses incurred will be impacted by fluctuations in these foreign currencies. When the U.S. dollar weakens against foreign currencies, the U.S. dollar value of the foreign-currency denominated debt and expense increases, and when the U.S. dollar strengthens against these currencies, the U.S. dollar value of the foreign-currency denominated debt and expense decreases. Consequently, changes in exchange rates will affect the amount we are required to repay on our €15 million loan from Servier and may affect our results of operations. Our loan from Servier was fully funded in January of 2011, with the proceeds converting to approximately \$19.5 million using the January 13, 2011 Euro to USD exchange rate. At March 31, 2011, the €15 million outstanding principal balance under this loan agreement would have equaled approximately \$21.1 million using the March 31, 2011 Euro to USD exchange rate. We currently have not hedged against our foreign currency risks; however, we are assessing the need and best alternatives to hedge against foreign currency risks and will implement a hedge when appropriate.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President (our principal executive officer) and our Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial officer), we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President and our Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report in timely alerting them to material information relating to us and our consolidated subsidiaries required to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

### Changes in Internal Control

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On April 9, 2009, a complaint was filed in the Superior Court of Alameda County, California, in a lawsuit captioned Hedrick et al. v. Genentech, Inc. et al, Case No. 09-446158. The complaint asserts claims against Genentech, us and others for alleged strict liability for failure to warn, strict product liability, negligence, breach of warranty, fraudulent concealment, wrongful death and other claims based on injuries alleged to have occurred as a result of three individuals' treatment with RAPTIVA®. The complaint seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. Since then, additional complaints have been filed in the same court, bringing the total number of pending cases to seventy five. All of the complaints allege the same claims and seek the same types of damages based on injuries alleged to have occurred as a result of the plaintiffs' treatment with RAPTIVA®. Our agreement with Genentech provides for an indemnity of XOMA and payment of legal fees by Genentech which we believe is applicable to these matters. We believe the claims against us to be without merit and intend to defend against them vigorously.

On January 7, 2011, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas in a case captioned Massa v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 4:11CV70. On January 11, 2011, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in a case captioned Sylvia, et al. v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 1:11-cv-10054-MLW. On April 8, 2011, four complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The cases are captioned: Muniz v. Genentech, et al., 5:11-cv-11489-JCO-RSW; Tifenthal v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11488-DPH-LJM; Blair v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11463-SFC-MJH; and Marsh v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11462-RHC-MKM. These six complaints allege the same claims against Genentech, us and others and seek the same types of damages as the complaints filed in the Superior Court of Alameda County, California referenced above. Our agreement with Genentech provides for an indemnity of XOMA and payment of legal fees by Genentech which we believe is applicable to these matters. We believe the claims against us to be without merit and intend to defend against them vigorously.

On August 4, 2010, a petition was filed in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas in a case captioned McCall v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 10-09544. The defendants filed a Notice of Removal to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas on September 3, 2010. The removed case is captioned McCall v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 3:10-cv-01747-B. The parties have fully briefed the plaintiff's Motion to Remand and are awaiting a final ruling from the Court. The petition asserts personal injury claims against Genentech, us and others arising out of the plaintiff's treatment with RAPTIVA®. The petition alleges claims based on negligence, strict liability, misrepresentation and suppression, conspiracy, and actual and constructive fraud. The petition seeks compensatory damages and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. Our agreement with Genentech provides for an indemnity of XOMA and payment of legal fees by Genentech which we believe is applicable to this matter. We believe the claims against us to be without merit and intend to defend against them vigorously.

There were no developments material to us in the United States Bankruptcy Court proceedings involving Apton Corporation (described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010) during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors and other information included in this quarterly report should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us also may impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

**Because our product candidates are still being developed, we will require substantial funds to continue; we cannot be certain that funds will be available and, if they are not available, we may have to take actions that could adversely affect your investment and may not be able to continue operations.**

We will need to commit substantial funds to continue development of our product candidates and we may not be able to obtain sufficient funds on acceptable terms, or at all. If adequate funds are not available, we may have to raise additional funds in a manner that may dilute or otherwise adversely affect the rights of existing shareholders, curtail or cease operations, or file for bankruptcy protection in extreme circumstances. We have spent, and we expect to continue to spend, substantial funds in connection with:

- research and development relating to our product candidates and production technologies,
- various human clinical trials, and
- protection of our intellectual property.

We finance our operations primarily through our multiple revenue streams resulting from the licensing of our antibody technologies, discovery and development collaborations, product royalties and biodefense contracts, and sales of our common shares. In September of 2009, we sold our royalty interest in LUCENTIS® to Genentech, Inc., a wholly-owned member of the Roche Group (“Genentech”) for gross proceeds of \$25 million, including royalty revenue from the second quarter of 2009. These proceeds, along with other funds, were used to fully repay our loan from Goldman Sachs Specialty Lending Holdings, Inc. (“Goldman Sachs”). As a result, we no longer have a royalty interest in LUCENTIS®. In August of 2010, we sold our royalty interest in CIMZIA® for gross proceeds of \$4.0 million, including royalty revenue from the second quarter of 2010. As a result, we no longer have a royalty interest in CIMZIA®. We received revenue from this royalty interest of \$0.5 million in 2010 and \$0.5 million in 2009.

Based on our cash reserves and anticipated spending levels, revenue from collaborations including our XOMA 052 collaboration agreement with Les Laboratoires Servier (“Servier”), funding from our loan agreement with Servier, biodefense contracts and licensing transactions and other sources of funding that we believe to be available, we believe that we have sufficient cash resources to meet our anticipated net cash needs through the next twelve months. Any significant revenue shortfalls, increases in planned spending on development programs or more rapid progress of development programs than anticipated, as well as the unavailability of anticipated sources of funding, could shorten this period. If adequate funds are not available, we will be required to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of our product development programs and further reduce personnel-related costs. Progress or setbacks by potentially competing products may also affect our ability to raise new funding on acceptable terms. As a result, we do not know when or whether:

- operations will generate meaningful funds,
- additional agreements for product development funding can be reached,
- strategic alliances can be negotiated, or
- adequate additional financing will be available for us to finance our own development on acceptable terms, or at all.

Cash balances and operating cash flow are influenced primarily by the timing and level of payments by our licensees, collaborators and development partners, as well as by our operating costs.

**Global credit and financial market conditions may reduce our ability to access capital and cash and could negatively impact the value of our current portfolio of cash equivalents and our ability to meet our financing objectives.**

Traditionally, we have funded a large portion of our research and development expenditures through raising capital in the equity markets. Recent events, including failures and bankruptcies among large commercial and investment banks, have led to considerable declines and uncertainties in these and other capital markets and have led to new regulatory and other restrictions that may broadly affect the nature of these markets. These circumstances could severely restrict the raising of new capital by companies such as us in the future.

Volatility in the financial markets has also created liquidity problems in investments previously thought to bear a minimal risk. For example, money market fund investors, including us, have in the past been unable to retrieve the full amount of funds, even in highly-rated liquid money market accounts, upon maturity. Although as of March 31, 2011, we have received the full amount of proceeds from money market fund investments, an inability to retrieve funds from money market fund investments as they mature in the future could have a material and adverse impact on our business, results of operations and cash flows.

Our cash and cash equivalents are maintained in highly liquid investments with remaining maturities of 90 days or less at the time of purchase. While as of the date of this filing, we are not aware of any downgrades, material losses, or other significant deterioration in the fair value of our cash equivalents since March 31, 2011, no assurance can be given that further deterioration in conditions of the global credit and financial markets would not negatively impact our current portfolio of cash equivalents or our ability to meet our financing objectives.

**Because all of our product candidates are still being developed, we have sustained losses in the past and we expect to sustain losses in the future.**

We have experienced significant losses and, as of March 31, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of \$859.6 million.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we had a net loss of approximately \$6.3 million or \$0.22 per common share (basic and diluted). For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we had a net loss of approximately \$21.8 million or \$1.36 per common share (basic and diluted).

Our ability to achieve profitability is dependent in large part on the success of our development programs, obtaining regulatory approval for our product candidates and entering into new agreements for product development, manufacturing and commercialization, all of which are uncertain. Our ability to fund our ongoing operations is dependent on the foregoing factors and on our ability to secure additional funds. Because our product candidates are still being developed, we do not know whether we will ever achieve sustained profitability or whether cash flow from future operations will be sufficient to meet our needs.

**We may issue additional equity securities and thereby materially and adversely affect the price of our common shares.**

We are authorized to issue, without shareholder approval, 1,000,000 preference shares, of which none were issued and outstanding as of May 3, 2010, which may give other shareholders dividend, conversion, voting, and liquidation rights, among other rights, which may be superior to the rights of holders of our common shares. In April of 2011, the 2,959 shares of our Series B convertible preference shares previously issued to Genentech were converted by Genentech into 254,560 common shares. In addition, we are authorized to issue, generally without shareholder approval, up to 46,666,666 common shares, of which 29,773,456 were issued and outstanding as of May 3, 2010. If we issue additional equity securities, the price of our common shares may be materially and adversely affected.

In the third quarter of 2009, we had entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the "2009 ATM Agreement"), with Wm Smith & Co. ("Wm Smith"), under which we could sell up to 1.7 million of our common shares from time to time through Wm Smith, as the agent for the offer and sale of the common shares. Wm Smith could sell these common shares by any method permitted by law deemed to be an "at the market" offering as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), including but not limited to sales made directly on The NASDAQ Global Market, on any other existing trading market for the common shares or to or through a market maker. Wm Smith could also sell the common shares in privately negotiated transactions, subject to our approval. From the inception of the 2009 ATM Agreement through October 27, 2010, we sold a total of 1,666,666 common shares through Wm Smith, constituting all of the shares available for sale under the agreement, for aggregate gross proceeds of \$12.2 million.

In February of 2010, we completed an underwritten offering of 2.8 million units, with each unit consisting of one of our common shares and a warrant to purchase 0.45 of a common share, for gross proceeds of approximately \$21 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses of \$1.7 million. The investors purchased the units at a price of \$7.50 per unit. The warrants, which represent the right to acquire an aggregate of up to 1.26 million common shares, are exercisable beginning six months and one day after issuance and have a five-year term and an exercise price of \$10.50 per share.

In July of 2010, we entered into a common share purchase agreement with Azimuth Opportunity, Ltd. ("Azimuth"), pursuant to which we obtained a committed equity line of credit facility under which we could sell up to \$30 million of our registered common shares to Azimuth over a 12-month period, subject to certain conditions and limitations. In August of 2010, we sold a total of 3,421,407 common shares under this facility for aggregate proceeds of \$14.2 million, representing the maximum number of shares that could be sold under this facility.

In October of 2010, we entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the “2010 ATM Agreement”), with Wm Smith and McNicoll, Lewis & Vlak LLC (the “Agents”), under which we may sell common shares from time to time through the Agents, as our agents for the offer and sale of the common shares, in an aggregate amount not to exceed the amount that can be sold under our registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-148342) filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on December 26, 2007 and declared effective by the SEC on May 29, 2008. The Agents may sell the common shares by any method permitted by law deemed to be an “at the market” offering as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act, including without limitation sales made directly on The NASDAQ Global Market, on any other existing trading market for the common shares or to or through a market maker. The Agents may also sell the common shares in privately negotiated transactions, subject to our prior approval. From the inception of the 2010 ATM Agreement through March 31, 2011, we sold a total of 7.5 million common shares under this agreement for aggregate gross proceeds of \$33.9 million, including 0.8 million common shares sold in the first quarter of 2011 for aggregate gross proceeds of \$4.3 million.

On February 4, 2011, we entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement with McNicoll, Lewis & Vlak LLC (“MLV”), under which we may sell common shares from time to time through the MLV, as our agent for the offer and sale of the common shares, in an aggregate amount not to exceed the amount that can be sold under our registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-172197) filed with the SEC on February 11, 2011, once such registration statement has been declared effective by the SEC. MLV may sell the common shares by any method permitted by law deemed to be an “at the market” offering as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act, including without limitation sales made directly on The NASDAQ Global Market, on any other existing trading market for the common shares or to or through a market maker. MLV may also sell the common shares in privately negotiated transactions, subject to our prior approval.

**The financial terms of future collaborative or licensing arrangements could result in dilution of our share value.**

Funding from collaboration partners and others has in the past and may in the future involve issuance by us of our shares. Because we do not currently have any such arrangements, we cannot be certain how the purchase price of such shares, the relevant market price or premium, if any, will be determined or when such determinations will be made. Any such issuance could result in dilution in the value of our issued and outstanding shares.

**Our share price may be volatile and there may not be an active trading market for our common shares.**

There can be no assurance that the market price of our common shares will not decline below its present market price or that there will be an active trading market for our common shares. The market prices of biotechnology companies have been and are likely to continue to be highly volatile. Fluctuations in our operating results and general market conditions for biotechnology stocks could have a significant impact on the volatility of our common share price. We have experienced significant volatility in the price of our common shares. From January 1, 2011 through May 3, 2011, our share price has ranged from a high of \$7.71 to a low of \$2.68. Factors contributing to such volatility include, but are not limited to:

- sales and estimated or forecasted sales of products for which we receive royalties,
- results of preclinical studies and clinical trials,
- information relating to the safety or efficacy of products or product candidates,
- developments regarding regulatory filings,
- announcements of new collaborations,
- failure to enter into collaborations,
- developments in existing collaborations,
- our funding requirements and the terms of our financing arrangements,
- technological innovations or new indications for our therapeutic products and product candidates,
- introduction of new products or technologies by us or our competitors,

- government regulations,
- developments in patent or other proprietary rights,
- the number of shares issued and outstanding,
- the number of shares trading on an average trading day,
- announcements regarding other participants in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, and
- market speculation regarding any of the foregoing.

If we are unable to continue meet the requirements for continued listing on The NASDAQ Global Market, then we may be de-listed. In March of 2010, we received a Staff Determination letter from The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ”) indicating that we had not regained compliance with the minimum \$1.00 per share requirement for continued inclusion on The NASDAQ Global Market, pursuant to NASDAQ Listing Rule 5450(a)(1). On August 18, 2010, we effected a reverse split of our common shares in order to regain compliance.

**We face uncertain results of clinical trials of our potential products.**

Our potential products, including XOMA 052 and XOMA 3AB, will require significant additional research and development, extensive preclinical studies and clinical trials and regulatory approval prior to any commercial sales. This process is lengthy and expensive, often taking a number of years. As clinical results are frequently susceptible to varying interpretations that may delay, limit or prevent regulatory approvals, the length of time necessary to complete clinical trials and to submit an application for marketing approval for a final decision by a regulatory authority varies significantly. As a result, it is uncertain whether:

- our future filings will be delayed,
- our preclinical and clinical studies will be successful,
- we will be successful in generating viable product candidates to targets,
- we will be able to provide necessary additional data,
- results of future clinical trials will justify further development, or
- we will ultimately achieve regulatory approval for any of these product candidates.

For example, in 2003, we completed two Phase 1 trials of XOMA 629, a BPI-derived topical peptide compound targeting acne, evaluating the safety, skin irritation and pharmacokinetics. In January of 2004, we announced the initiation of Phase 2 clinical testing in patients with mild-to-moderate acne. In August of 2004, we announced the results of a Phase 2 trial with XOMA 629 gel. The results were inconclusive in terms of clinical benefit of XOMA 629 compared with vehicle gel. In 2007, after completing an internal evaluation of this program, we chose to reformulate and focus development efforts on the use of this reformulated product candidate in superficial skin infections, including impetigo and the eradication of staphylococcus aureus. In the fourth quarter of 2008, we decided to curtail all spending on XOMA 629 in response to current economic conditions and to focus our financial resources on XOMA 052.

The timing of the commencement, continuation and completion of clinical trials may be subject to significant delays relating to various causes, including completion of preclinical testing and earlier-stage clinical trials in a timely manner, scheduling conflicts with participating clinicians and clinical institutions, difficulties in identifying and enrolling patients who meet trial eligibility criteria, and shortages of available drug supply. Patient enrollment is a function of many factors, including the size of the patient population, the proximity of patients to clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for the trial, the existence of competing clinical trials and the availability of alternative or new treatments. In addition, we will conduct clinical trials in foreign countries in the future which may subject us to further delays and expenses as a result of increased drug shipment costs, additional regulatory requirements and the engagement of foreign clinical research organizations, as well as expose us to risks associated with foreign currency transactions insofar as we might desire to use U.S. dollars to make contract payments denominated in the foreign currency where the trial is being conducted.

All of our product candidates are prone to the risks of failure inherent in drug development. Preclinical studies may not yield results that would satisfactorily support the filing of an Investigational New Drug application (“IND”) (or a foreign equivalent) with respect to our product candidates. Even if these applications would be or have been filed with respect to our product candidates, the results of preclinical studies do not necessarily predict the results of clinical trials. Similarly, early-stage clinical trials in healthy volunteers do not predict the results of later-stage clinical trials, including the safety and efficacy profiles of any particular product candidates. In addition, there can be no assurance that the design of our clinical trials is focused on appropriate indications, patient populations, dosing regimens or other variables which will result in obtaining the desired efficacy data to support regulatory approval to commercialize the drug. Preclinical and clinical data can be interpreted in different ways. Accordingly, Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) officials or officials from foreign regulatory authorities could interpret the data in different ways than we or our collaboration or development partners do which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval.

Administering any of our products or potential products may produce undesirable side effects, also known as adverse effects. Toxicities and adverse effects that we have observed in preclinical studies for some compounds in a particular research and development program may occur in preclinical studies or clinical trials of other compounds from the same program. Such toxicities or adverse effects could delay or prevent the filing of an IND (or a foreign equivalent) with respect to such products or potential products or cause us to cease clinical trials with respect to any drug candidate. In clinical trials, administering any of our products or product candidates to humans may produce adverse effects. These adverse effects could interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials of our products and product candidates and could result in the FDA or other regulatory authorities denying approval of our products or product candidates for any or all targeted indications. The FDA, other regulatory authorities, our collaboration or development partners or we may suspend or terminate clinical trials at any time. Even if one or more of our product candidates were approved for sale, the occurrence of even a limited number of toxicities or adverse effects when used in large populations may cause the FDA to impose restrictions on, or stop, the further marketing of such drugs. Indications of potential adverse effects or toxicities which may occur in clinical trials and which we believe are not significant during the course of such clinical trials may later turn out to actually constitute serious adverse effects or toxicities when a drug has been used in large populations or for extended periods of time. Any failure or significant delay in completing preclinical studies or clinical trials for our product candidates, or in receiving and maintaining regulatory approval for the sale of any drugs resulting from our product candidates, may severely harm our reputation and business.

Given that regulatory review is an interactive and continuous process, we maintain a policy of limiting announcements and comments upon the specific details of regulatory review of our product candidates, subject to our obligations under the securities laws, until definitive action is taken.

**Our therapeutic product candidates have not received regulatory approval. If these product candidates do not receive regulatory approval, neither our third party collaborators nor we will be able to manufacture and market them.**

Our product candidates, including XOMA 052 and XOMA 3AB, cannot be manufactured and marketed in the United States and other countries without required regulatory approvals. The United States government and governments of other countries extensively regulate many aspects of our product candidates, including:

- testing,
- manufacturing,
- promotion and marketing, and
- exporting.

In the United States, the FDA regulates pharmaceutical products under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other laws, including, in the case of biologics, the Public Health Service Act. At the present time, we believe that most of our product candidates will be regulated by the FDA as biologics. Initiation of clinical trials requires approval by health authorities. Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational new drug to healthy volunteers or to patients under the supervision of a qualified principal investigator. Clinical trials must be conducted in accordance with FDA and International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use Good Clinical Practices and the European Clinical Trials Directive under protocols that detail the objectives of the study, the parameters to be used to monitor safety and the efficacy criteria to be evaluated. Other national, foreign and local regulations may also apply. The developer of the drug must provide information relating to the characterization and controls of the product before administration to the patients participating in the clinical trials. This requires developing approved assays of the product to test before administration to the patient and during the conduct of the trial. In addition, developers of pharmaceutical products must provide periodic data regarding clinical trials to the FDA and other health authorities, and these health authorities may issue a clinical hold upon a trial if they do not believe, or cannot confirm, that the trial can be conducted without unreasonable risk to the trial participants. We cannot assure you that U.S. and foreign health authorities will not issue a clinical hold with respect to any of our clinical trials in the future.

The results of the preclinical studies and clinical testing, together with chemistry, manufacturing and controls information, are submitted to the FDA and other health authorities in the form of a new drug application for a pharmaceutical product, and in the form of a Biologic License Application (“BLA”) for a biological product, requesting approval to commence commercial sales. In responding to a new drug application or an antibody license application, the FDA or foreign health authorities may grant marketing approvals, request additional information or further research, or deny the application if it determines that the application does not satisfy its regulatory approval criteria. Regulatory approval of a new drug application, BLA, or supplement is never guaranteed, and the approval process can take several years and is extremely expensive. The FDA and foreign health authorities have substantial discretion in the drug and biologics approval processes. Despite the time and expense incurred, failure can occur at any stage, and we could encounter problems that cause us to abandon clinical trials or to repeat or perform additional preclinical, clinical or manufacturing-related studies.

Changes in the regulatory approval policy during the development period, changes in, or the enactment of additional regulations or statutes, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted product application may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. State regulations may also affect our proposed products. The FDA has substantial discretion in both the product approval process and manufacturing facility approval process and, as a result of this discretion and uncertainties about outcomes of testing, we cannot predict at what point, or whether, the FDA will be satisfied with our or our collaborators’ submissions or whether the FDA will raise questions which may be material and delay or preclude product approval or manufacturing facility approval. As we accumulate additional clinical data, we will submit it to the FDA, and such data may have a material impact on the FDA product approval process.

**Even once approved, a product may be subject to additional testing or significant marketing restrictions, its approval may be withdrawn or it may be voluntarily taken off the market.**

Even if the FDA, the European Commission or another regulatory agency approves a product candidate for marketing, the approval may impose ongoing requirements for post-approval studies, including additional research and development and clinical trials, and the FDA, European Commission or other regulatory agency may subsequently withdraw approval based on these additional trials.

Even for approved products, the FDA, European Commission or other regulatory agency may impose significant restrictions on the indicated uses, conditions for use, labeling, advertising, promotion, marketing and/or production of such product.

Furthermore, a marketing approval of a product may be withdrawn by the FDA, the European Commission or another regulatory agency or such a product may be voluntarily withdrawn by the company marketing it based, for example, on subsequently-arising safety concerns. In February of 2009, the European Medicines Agency (“EMA”) announced that it had recommended suspension of the marketing authorization of RAPTIVA® in the European Union and that its Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (“CHMP”) had concluded that the benefits of RAPTIVA® no longer outweigh its risks because of safety concerns, including the occurrence of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (“PML”) in patients taking the medicine. In the second quarter of 2009, Genentech announced and carried out a phased voluntary withdrawal of RAPTIVA® from the U.S. market, based on the association of RAPTIVA® with an increased risk of PML.

The FDA, European Commission and other agencies also may impose various civil or criminal sanctions for failure to comply with regulatory requirements, including withdrawal of product approval.

**Certain of our technologies are relatively new and are in-licensed from third parties, so our capabilities using them are unproven and subject to additional risks.**

We license technologies from third parties. These technologies include but are not limited to phage display technologies licensed to us in connection with our bacterial cell expression technology licensing program. However, our experience with some of these technologies remains relatively limited and, to varying degrees, we are still dependent on the licensing parties for training and technical support for these technologies. In addition, our use of these technologies is limited by certain contractual provisions in the licenses relating to them and, although we have obtained numerous licenses, intellectual property rights in the area of phage display are particularly complex. If the owners of the patent rights underlying the technologies we license do not properly maintain or enforce those patents, our competitive position and business prospects could be harmed. Our success will depend in part on the ability of our licensors to obtain, maintain and enforce our licensed intellectual property. Our licensors may not successfully prosecute the patent applications to which we have licenses, or our licensors may fail to maintain existing patents. They may determine not to pursue litigation against other companies that are infringing these patents, or they may pursue such litigation less aggressively than we would. Our licensors may also seek to terminate our license, which could cause us to lose the right to use the licensed intellectual property and adversely affect our ability to commercialize our technologies, products or services.

**We do not know whether there will be, or will continue to be, a viable market for the products in which we have an ownership or royalty interest.**

Even if other products in which we have an interest receive approval in the future, they may not be accepted in the marketplace. In addition, we or our collaborators or licensees may experience difficulties in launching new products, many of which are novel and based on technologies that are unfamiliar to the healthcare community. We have no assurance that healthcare providers and patients will accept such products, if developed. For example, physicians and/or patients may not accept a product for a particular indication because it has been biologically derived (and not discovered and developed by more traditional means) or if no biologically derived products are currently in widespread use in that indication. Similarly, physicians may not accept a product if they believe other products to be more effective or are more comfortable prescribing other products.

Safety concerns may also arise in the course of on-going clinical trials or patient treatment as a result of adverse events or reactions. For example, in February of 2009, the EMA announced that it had recommended suspension of the marketing authorization of RAPTIVA® in the European Union and EMD Serono Inc., the company that marketed RAPTIVA® in Canada (“EMD Serono”) announced that, in consultation with Health Canada, the Canadian health authority (“Health Canada”), it would suspend marketing of RAPTIVA® in Canada. In March of 2009, Merck Serono Australia Pty Ltd, the company that marketed RAPTIVA® in Australia (“Merck Serono Australia”), following a recommendation from the Therapeutic Goods Administration, the Australian health authority (“TGA”), announced that it was withdrawing RAPTIVA® from the Australian market. In the second quarter of 2009, Genentech announced and carried out a phased voluntary withdrawal of RAPTIVA® from the U.S. market, based on the association of RAPTIVA® with an increased risk of PML. As a result, sales of RAPTIVA® ceased in the second quarter of 2009.

Furthermore, government agencies, as well as private organizations involved in healthcare, from time to time publish guidelines or recommendations to healthcare providers and patients. Such guidelines or recommendations can be very influential and may adversely affect the usage of any products we may develop directly (for example, by recommending a decreased dosage of a product in conjunction with a concomitant therapy or a government entity withdrawing its recommendation to screen blood donations for certain viruses) or indirectly (for example, by recommending a competitive product over our product). Consequently, we do not know if physicians or patients will adopt or use our products for their approved indications.

**We or our third party collaborators or licensees may not have adequate manufacturing capacity sufficient to meet market demand.**

If any of our product candidates are approved, because we have never commercially introduced any pharmaceutical products, we do not know whether the capacity of our existing manufacturing facilities can be increased to produce sufficient quantities of our products to meet market demand. Also, if we or our third party collaborators or licensees need additional manufacturing facilities to meet market demand, we cannot predict that we will successfully obtain those facilities because we do not know whether they will be available on acceptable terms. In addition, any manufacturing facilities acquired or used to meet market demand must meet the FDA’s quality assurance guidelines.

**Our agreements with third parties, many of which are significant to our business, expose us to numerous risks.**

Our financial resources and our marketing experience and expertise are limited. Consequently, our ability to successfully develop products depends, to a large extent, upon securing the financial resources and/or marketing capabilities of third parties.

In April of 1996, we entered into an agreement with Genentech whereby we agreed to co-develop Genentech’s humanized monoclonal antibody product RAPTIVA®. In April of 1999, March of 2003, and January of 2005, the companies amended the agreement. In October of 2003, RAPTIVA® was approved by the FDA for the treatment of adults with chronic moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis who are candidates for systemic therapy or phototherapy and, in September of 2004, Merck Serono announced the product’s approval in the European Union. In January of 2005, we entered into a restructuring of our collaboration agreement with Genentech which ended our existing cost and profit sharing arrangement related to RAPTIVA® in the United States and entitled us to a royalty interest on worldwide net sales. In February of 2009, the EMA announced that it had recommended suspension of the marketing authorization of RAPTIVA® in the European Union and EMD Serono announced that, in consultation with Health Canada, it would suspend marketing of RAPTIVA® in Canada. In March of 2009, Merck Serono Australia, following a recommendation from the TGA, announced that it was withdrawing RAPTIVA® from the Australian market. In the second quarter of 2009, Genentech announced and carried out a phased voluntary withdrawal of RAPTIVA® from the U.S. market, based on the association of RAPTIVA® with an increased risk of PML. As a result, sales of RAPTIVA® ceased in the second quarter of 2009.

In March of 2004, we announced we had agreed to collaborate with Chiron Corporation (now Novartis) for the development and commercialization of antibody products for the treatment of cancer. In April of 2005, we announced the initiation of clinical testing of the first product candidate out of the collaboration, HCD122, an anti-CD40 antibody, in patients with advanced chronic lymphocytic leukemia. In October of 2005, we announced the initiation of the second clinical trial of HCD122 in patients with multiple myeloma. In November of 2008, we announced the restructuring of this product development collaboration, which involves six development programs including the ongoing HCD122 and LFA102 programs. In exchange for cash and debt reduction on our existing loan facility with Novartis, Novartis has control over the HCD122 and LFA102 programs and the additional ongoing program, as well as the right to expand the development of these programs into additional indications outside of oncology.

In March of 2005, we entered into a contract with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (“NIAID”) to produce three monoclonal antibodies designed to protect United States citizens against the harmful effects of botulinum neurotoxin used in bioterrorism. In July of 2006, we entered into an additional contract with NIAID for the development of an appropriate formulation for human administration of these three antibodies in a single injection. In September of 2008, we announced that we were awarded an additional contract with NIAID to support our on-going development of drug candidates toward clinical trials in the treatment of botulism poisoning.

In December of 2010, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement with Servier, to jointly develop and commercialize XOMA 052 in multiple indications. Under the terms of the agreement, Servier has worldwide rights to diabetes and cardiovascular disease indications and rights outside the U.S. and Japan to Behcet’s uveitis and other inflammatory and oncology indications. We retain development and commercialization rights for Behcet’s uveitis and other inflammatory disease and oncology indications in the U.S. and Japan, and has an option to reacquire rights to diabetes and cardiovascular disease indications from Servier in these territories. Should we exercise this option, we will be required to pay Servier an option fee and partially reimburse their incurred development expenses. The agreement contains customary termination rights relating to matters such as material breach by either party, safety issues and patents. Servier also has a unilateral right to terminate the agreement on a country-by-country basis or in its entirety on six months’ notice.

In December of 2010, we also entered into a loan agreement with Servier, which provides for an advance of up to €15 million and was fully funded in January of 2011 with the proceeds converting to approximately \$19.5 million using the January 13, 2011 Euro to USD exchange rate. This loan is secured by an interest in our intellectual property rights to all XOMA 052 indications worldwide, excluding the U.S. and Japan. The loan has a final maturity date in 2016; however, after a specified period prior to final maturity, the loan is required to be repaid (i) at Servier’s option, by applying up to a significant percentage of any milestone or royalty payments owed by Servier under our collaboration agreement and (ii) using a significant percentage of any upfront, milestone or royalty payments we receive from any third party collaboration or development partner for rights to XOMA 052 in the U.S. and/or Japan. In addition, the loan becomes immediately due and payable upon certain customary events of default. At March 31, 2011, the €15 million outstanding principal balance under this loan agreement would have equaled approximately \$21.1 million using the March 31, 2011 Euro to USD exchange rate.

We have licensed our bacterial cell expression technology, an enabling technology used to discover and screen, as well as develop and manufacture, recombinant antibodies and other proteins for commercial purposes, to over 50 companies. As of March 31, 2011, we were aware of two antibody products manufactured using this technology that have received FDA approval, Genentech’s LUCENTIS® (ranibizumab injection) for treatment of neovascular wet age-related macular degeneration and UCB’s CIMZIA® (certolizumab pegol) for treatment of Crohn’s disease and rheumatoid arthritis. In the third quarter of 2009, we sold our LUCENTIS® royalty interest to Genentech. In the third quarter of 2010, we sold our CIMZIA® royalty interest.

Because our collaborators and licensees are independent third parties, they may be subject to different risks than we are and have significant discretion in, and different criteria for, determining the efforts and resources they will apply related to their agreements with us. If these collaborators and licensees do not successfully develop and market these products, we may not have the capabilities, resources or rights to do so on our own. We do not know whether we, our collaborators or licensees will successfully develop and market any of the products that are or may become the subject of any of our collaboration or licensing arrangements. In some cases these arrangements provide for funding solely by our collaborators or licensees, and in other cases, such as our arrangement with Servier, all of the funding for certain projects and a significant portion of the funding for other projects is to be provided by our collaborator or licensee. In addition, third party arrangements such as ours also increase uncertainties in the related decision-making processes and resulting progress under the arrangements, as we and our collaborators or licensees may reach different conclusions, or support different paths forward, based on the same information, particularly when large amounts of technical data are involved. Furthermore, our contracts with NIAID contain numerous standard terms and conditions provided for in the applicable federal acquisition regulations and customary in many government contracts. Uncertainty exists as to whether we will be able to comply with these terms and conditions in a timely manner, if at all. In addition, we are uncertain as to the extent of NIAID’s demands and the flexibility that will be granted to us in meeting those demands.

Even when we have a collaborative relationship, other circumstances may prevent it from resulting in successful development of marketable products.

- In September of 2004, we entered into a collaboration arrangement with Apton Corporation (“Apton”) for the treatment of gastrointestinal and other gastrin-sensitive cancers using anti-gastrin monoclonal antibodies. In January of 2006, Apton announced that its common stock had been delisted from NASDAQ. In May of 2006, Apton filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11, Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.
- In September of 2006, we entered into an agreement with Taligen Therapeutics, Inc. (“Taligen”) which formalized an earlier letter agreement, which was signed in May of 2006, for the development and cGMP manufacture of a novel antibody fragment for the potential treatment of inflammatory diseases. In May of 2007, we and Taligen entered into a letter agreement which provided that we would not produce a cGMP batch at clinical scale pursuant to the terms of the agreement entered into in September of 2006. In addition, the letter agreement provided that we would conduct and complete the technical transfer of the process to Avecia Biologics Limited or its designated affiliate (“Avecia”). The letter agreement also provided that, subject to payment by Taligen of approximately \$1.7 million, we would grant to Avecia a non-exclusive, worldwide, paid-up, non-transferable, non-sublicensable, perpetual license under our owned project innovations. We received \$0.6 million as the first installment under the payment terms of the letter agreement but not the two additional payments totaling approximately \$1.1 million to which we were entitled upon fulfillment of certain obligations. In May of 2009, the matter was resolved by agreement of the parties in a manner that had no further impact on our financial position.

Although we continue to evaluate additional strategic alliances and potential partnerships, we do not know whether or when any such alliances or partnerships will be entered into.

**Products and technologies of other companies may render some or all of our products and product candidates noncompetitive or obsolete.**

Developments by others may render our products, product candidates, or technologies obsolete or uncompetitive. Technologies developed and utilized by the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are continuously and substantially changing. Competition in the areas of genetically engineered DNA-based and antibody-based technologies is intense and expected to increase in the future as a number of established biotechnology firms and large chemical and pharmaceutical companies advance in these fields. Many of these competitors may be able to develop products and processes competitive with or superior to our own for many reasons, including that they may have:

- significantly greater financial resources,
- larger research and development and marketing staffs,
- larger production facilities,
- entered into arrangements with, or acquired, biotechnology companies to enhance their capabilities, or
- extensive experience in preclinical testing and human clinical trials.

These factors may enable others to develop products and processes competitive with or superior to our own or those of our collaborators. In addition, a significant amount of research in biotechnology is being carried out in universities and other non-profit research organizations. These entities are becoming increasingly interested in the commercial value of their work and may become more aggressive in seeking patent protection and licensing arrangements. Furthermore, many companies and universities tend not to announce or disclose important discoveries or development programs until their patent position is secure or, for other reasons, later; as a result, we may not be able to track development of competitive products, particularly at the early stages. Positive or negative developments in connection with a potentially competing product may have an adverse impact on our ability to raise additional funding on acceptable terms. For example, if another product is perceived to have a competitive advantage, or another product’s failure is perceived to increase the likelihood that our product will fail, then investors may choose not to invest in us on terms we would accept or at all.

The examples below pertain to competitive events in the market which we review quarterly and are not intended to be representative of all existing competitive events.

*XOMA 052*

We, in collaboration with Servier, are conducting clinical testing of XOMA 052, a potent anti-inflammatory monoclonal antibody targeting IL-1 beta, in Behcet's uveitis patients, Type 2 diabetes patients and cardiovascular disease patients. Other companies are developing other products based on the same or similar therapeutic targets as XOMA 052 and these products may prove more effective than XOMA 052. We are aware that:

- In June of 2009, Novartis announced it had received U.S. marketing approval for Ilaris® (canakinumab), a fully-human monoclonal antibody targeting IL-1 beta, to treat children and adults with Cryopyrin-Associated Periodic Syndromes ("CAPS"). In October of 2009, Novartis announced that Ilaris® had been approved in the European Union for CAPS. Canakinumab is also in clinical trials in Type 2 diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, certain forms of gout and systemic juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. In January of 2011, Novartis announced that it had filed for EMA approval of Ilaris® for the treatment and prevention of gout.
- Eli Lilly and Company ("Lilly") is developing LY2189102, an investigational IL-1 beta antibody, for bi-weekly subcutaneous injection for the treatment of Type 2 diabetes. Lilly announced the initiation of a Phase 2 study in the third quarter of 2009, and this study has been completed.
- In 2008, Biovitrum AB (now called Swedish Orphan Biovitrum, "Biovitrum") obtained a worldwide exclusive license to Amgen Inc.'s ("Amgen") Kinere® (anakinra) for its current approved indication. Kinere® is an IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) currently marketed to treat rheumatoid arthritis and has been evaluated over the years in multiple IL-1 mediated diseases, including Type 2 diabetes and other indications we are considering for XOMA 052. In addition to other on-going studies, a proof-of-concept clinical trial in the United Kingdom investigating Kinere® in patients with a certain type of myocardial infarction, or heart attack, has been completed. In August of 2010, Biovitrum announced that the FDA had granted orphan drug designation to Kinere® for the treatment of CAPS.
- In February of 2008, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Regeneron") announced it had received marketing approval from the FDA for ARCALYST® (rilonacept) Injection for Subcutaneous Use, an interleukin-1 blocker or IL-1 Trap, for the treatment of CAPS, including Familial Cold Auto-inflammatory Syndrome and Muckle-Wells Syndrome in adults and children 12 and older. In September of 2009, Regeneron announced that rilonacept was approved in the European Union for CAPS. In June of 2010 and February of 2011, Regeneron announced positive results of two Phase 3 clinical trials of rilonacept in gout. In March of 2011, Regeneron disclosed that it intends to file a supplemental BLA for ARCALYST® for the prevention and treatment of gout.
- Amgen has been developing AMG 108, a fully-human monoclonal antibody that targets inhibition of the action of IL-1. In April of 2008, Amgen discussed results from its recently completed Phase 2 study in rheumatoid arthritis. AMG 108 showed statistically significant improvement in the signs and symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis and was well tolerated. In January of 2011, MedImmune, the worldwide biologics unit for AstraZeneca PLC, announced that Amgen granted it rights to develop AMG 108 worldwide except in Japan.
- In June of 2009, Cytos Biotechnology AG announced the initiation of an ascending dose Phase 1/2a study of CYT013-IL1bQb, a therapeutic vaccine targeting IL-1 beta, in Type 2 diabetes. In 2010, this study was extended to include two additional groups of patients.

*XOMA 3AB*

We are also developing XOMA 3AB, a combination, or cocktail, of antibodies designed to neutralize the most potent of botulinum toxins. Other companies are developing other products targeting botulism poisoning and these products may prove more effective than XOMA 3AB. We are aware that:

- In May of 2006, the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services awarded Cangene Corporation (“Cangene”) a five-year, \$362 million contract under Project Bioshield. The contract requires Cangene to manufacture and supply 200,000 doses of an equine heptavalent botulism anti-toxin to treat individuals who have been exposed to the toxins that cause botulism. In May of 2008, Cangene announced significant product delivery under this contract. In March of 2010, this contract was extended for an additional two years, until May of 2013.
- Emergent BioSolutions, Inc. (“Emergent”) is currently in development of a botulism immunoglobulin candidate that may compete with our anti-botulinum neurotoxin monoclonal antibodies.
- We are aware of additional companies that are pursuing biodefense-related antibody products. PharmAthene, Inc. and Human Genome Sciences, Inc. are developing anti-anthrax antibodies. Cangene and Emergent are developing anti-anthrax immune globulin products. These products may compete with our efforts in the areas of other monoclonal antibody-based biodefense products, and the manufacture of antibodies to supply strategic national stockpiles.

**Manufacturing risks and inefficiencies may adversely affect our ability to manufacture products for ourselves or others.**

To the extent we continue to provide manufacturing services for our own benefit or to third parties, we are subject to manufacturing risks. Additionally, unanticipated fluctuations in customer requirements have led and may continue to lead to manufacturing inefficiencies, which if significant could lead to an impairment on our long-lived assets or restructuring activities. We must utilize our manufacturing operations in compliance with regulatory requirements, in sufficient quantities and on a timely basis, while maintaining acceptable product quality and manufacturing costs. Additional resources and changes in our manufacturing processes may be required for each new product, product modification or customer or to meet changing regulatory or third party requirements, and this work may not be successfully or efficiently completed. In addition, to the extent we continue to provide manufacturing services, our fixed costs, such as facility costs, would be expected to increase, as would necessary capital investment in equipment and facilities.

Manufacturing and quality problems may arise in the future to the extent we continue to perform these services for our own benefit or for third parties. Consequently, our development goals or milestones may not be achieved in a timely manner or at a commercially reasonable cost, or at all. In addition, to the extent we continue to make investments to improve our manufacturing operations, our efforts may not yield the improvements that we expect.

**Because many of the companies we do business with are also in the biotechnology sector, the volatility of that sector can affect us indirectly as well as directly.**

As a biotechnology company that collaborates with other biotechnology companies, the same factors that affect us directly can also adversely impact us indirectly by affecting the ability of our collaborators, partners and others we do business with to meet their obligations to us and reduce our ability to realize the value of the consideration provided to us by these other companies.

For example, in connection with our licensing transactions relating to our bacterial cell expression technology, we have in the past and may in the future agree to accept equity securities of the licensee in payment of license fees. The future value of these or any other shares we receive is subject both to market risks affecting our ability to realize the value of these shares and more generally to the business and other risks to which the issuer of these shares may be subject.

**As we do more business internationally, we will be subject to additional political, economic and regulatory uncertainties.**

We may not be able to successfully operate in any foreign market. We believe that, because the pharmaceutical industry is global in nature, international activities will be a significant part of our future business activities and that, when and if we are able to generate income, a substantial portion of that income will be derived from product sales and other activities outside the United States. Foreign regulatory agencies often establish standards different from those in the United States, and an inability to obtain foreign regulatory approvals on a timely basis could put us at a competitive disadvantage or make it uneconomical to proceed with a product or product candidate’s development. International operations and sales may be limited or disrupted by:

- imposition of government controls,
- export license requirements,
- political or economic instability,
- trade restrictions,
- changes in tariffs,
- restrictions on repatriating profits,
- exchange rate fluctuations,
- withholding and other taxation, and
- difficulties in staffing and managing international operations.

**If we and our partners are unable to protect our intellectual property, in particular our patent protection for our principal products, product candidates and processes, and prevent its use by third parties, our ability to compete in the market will be harmed, and we may not realize our profit potential.**

We rely on patent protection, as well as a combination of copyright, trade secret, and trademark laws to protect our proprietary technology and prevent others from duplicating our products or product candidates. However, these means may afford only limited protection and may not:

- prevent our competitors from duplicating our products,
- prevent our competitors from gaining access to our proprietary information and technology, or
- permit us to gain or maintain a competitive advantage.

Because of the length of time and the expense associated with bringing new products to the marketplace, we and our collaboration and development partners hold and are in the process of applying for a number of patents in the United States and abroad to protect our product candidates and important processes and also have obtained or have the right to obtain exclusive licenses to certain patents and applications filed by others. However, the mere issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its validity or its enforceability. The United States Federal Courts or equivalent national courts or patent offices elsewhere may invalidate our patents or find them unenforceable. In addition, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights effectively or to the same extent as the laws of the United States. If our intellectual property rights are not adequately protected, we may not be able to commercialize our technologies, products, or services, and our competitors could commercialize our technologies, which could result in a decrease in our sales and market share that would harm our business and operating results. Specifically, the patent position of biotechnology companies generally is highly uncertain and involves complex legal and factual questions. The legal standards governing the validity of biotechnology patents are in transition, and current defenses as to issued biotechnology patents may not be adequate in the future. Accordingly, there is uncertainty as to:

- whether any pending or future patent applications held by us will result in an issued patent, or that if patents are issued to us, that such patents will provide meaningful protection against competitors or competitive technologies,
- whether competitors will be able to design around our patents or develop and obtain patent protection for technologies, designs or methods that are more effective than those covered by our patents and patent applications, or
- the extent to which our product candidates could infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, which may lead to costly litigation, result in the payment of substantial damages or royalties, and/or prevent us from using technology that is essential to our business.

We have established an extensive portfolio of patents and applications, both United States and foreign, related to our BPI-related product candidates, including novel compositions, their manufacture, formulation, assay and use. We have also established a portfolio of patents, both United States and foreign, related to our bacterial cell expression technology, including claims to novel promoter sequences, secretion signal sequences, compositions and methods for expression and secretion of recombinant proteins from bacteria, including immunoglobulin gene products. Most of the more important European patents in our bacterial cell expression patent portfolio expired in July of 2008.

If certain patents issued to others are upheld or if certain patent applications filed by others issue and are upheld, we may require licenses from others in order to develop and commercialize certain potential products incorporating our technology or we may become involved in litigation to determine the proprietary rights of others. These licenses, if required, may not be available on acceptable terms, and any such litigation may be costly and may have other adverse effects on our business, such as inhibiting our ability to compete in the marketplace and absorbing significant management time.

Due to the uncertainties regarding biotechnology patents, we also have relied and will continue to rely upon trade secrets, know-how and continuing technological advancement to develop and maintain our competitive position. All of our employees have signed confidentiality agreements under which they have agreed not to use or disclose any of our proprietary information. Research and development contracts and relationships between us and our scientific consultants and potential customers provide access to aspects of our know-how that are protected generally under confidentiality agreements. These confidentiality agreements may be breached or may not be enforced by a court. To the extent proprietary information is divulged to competitors or to the public generally, such disclosure may adversely affect our ability to develop or commercialize our products by giving others a competitive advantage or by undermining our patent position.

**Litigation regarding intellectual property can be costly and expose us to risks of counterclaims against us.**

We may be required to engage in litigation or other proceedings to protect our intellectual property. The cost to us of this litigation, even if resolved in our favor, could be substantial. Such litigation could also divert management's attention and resources. In addition, if this litigation is resolved against us, our patents may be declared invalid, and we could be held liable for significant damages. In addition, we may be subject to a claim that we are infringing another party's patent. If such claim is resolved against us, we or our collaborators may be enjoined from developing, manufacturing, selling or importing products, processes or services unless we obtain a license from the other party. Such license may not be available on reasonable terms, thus preventing us from using these products, processes or services and adversely affecting our revenue.

**Even if we or our third party collaborators or licensees bring products to market, we may be unable to effectively price our products or obtain adequate reimbursement for sales of our products, which would prevent our products from becoming profitable.**

If we or our third party collaborators or licensees succeed in bringing our product candidates to the market, they may not be considered cost-effective, and reimbursement to the patient may not be available or may not be sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive basis. In both the United States and elsewhere, sales of medical products and treatments are dependent, in part, on the availability of reimbursement to the patient from third-party payors, such as government and private insurance plans. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the prices charged for pharmaceutical products and services. Our business is affected by the efforts of government and third-party payors to contain or reduce the cost of healthcare through various means. In the United States, there have been and will continue to be a number of federal and state proposals to implement government controls on pricing. In addition, the emphasis on managed care in the United States has increased and will continue to increase the pressure on the pricing of pharmaceutical products. We cannot predict whether any legislative or regulatory proposals will be adopted or the effect these proposals or managed care efforts may have on our business.

**Healthcare reform measures and other statutory or regulatory changes could adversely affect our business.**

In both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system in ways that could impact our business. In March of 2010, the U.S. Congress enacted and President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, which includes a number of healthcare reform provisions. Assuming the new law survives recent calls for its repeal, the reforms imposed by the new law would significantly impact the pharmaceutical industry, most likely in the area of pharmaceutical product pricing; however, the full effects of new law cannot be known until these provisions are implemented and the relevant federal and state agencies issue applicable regulations or guidance.

The pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries are subject to extensive regulation, and from time to time legislative bodies and governmental agencies consider changes to such regulations that could have significant impact on industry participants. For example, in light of certain highly-publicized safety issues regarding certain drugs that had received marketing approval, the U.S. Congress has considered various proposals regarding drug safety, including some which would require additional safety studies and monitoring and could make drug development more costly. We are unable to predict what additional legislation or regulation, if any, relating to safety or other aspects of drug development may be enacted in the future or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on our business.

The business and financial condition of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies are also affected by the efforts of governments, third-party payors and others to contain or reduce the costs of healthcare to consumers. In the United States and various foreign jurisdictions there have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory proposals aimed at changing the healthcare system, such as proposals relating to the reimportation of drugs into the U.S. from other countries (where they are then sold at a lower price) and government control of prescription drug pricing. The pendency or approval of such proposals could result in a decrease in our share price or limit our ability to raise capital or to obtain strategic collaborations or licenses.

**We are exposed to an increased risk of product liability claims, and a series of related cases is currently pending against us.**

The testing, marketing and sales of medical products entails an inherent risk of allegations of product liability. In the event of one or more large, unforeseen awards of damages against us, our product liability insurance may not provide adequate coverage. A significant product liability claim for which we were not covered by insurance would have to be paid from cash or other assets. To the extent we have sufficient insurance coverage, such a claim would result in higher subsequent insurance rates. In addition, product liability claims can have various other ramifications including loss of future sales opportunities, increased costs associated with replacing products, and a negative impact on our goodwill and reputation, which could also adversely affect our business and operating results.

On April 9, 2009, a complaint was filed in the Superior Court of Alameda County, California, in a lawsuit captioned Hedrick et al. v. Genentech, Inc. et al, Case No. 09-446158. The complaint asserts claims against Genentech, us and others for alleged strict liability for failure to warn, strict product liability, negligence, breach of warranty, fraudulent concealment, wrongful death and other claims based on injuries alleged to have occurred as a result of three individuals' treatment with RAPTIVA®. The complaint seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. Since then, additional complaints have been filed in the same court, bringing the total number of pending cases to seventy five. All of the complaints allege the same claims and seek the same types of damages based on injuries alleged to have occurred as a result of the plaintiffs' treatment with RAPTIVA®. Even though Genentech has agreed to indemnify us in connection with these matters, there can be no assurance that these or other products liability lawsuits will not result in liability to us or that our insurance or contractual arrangements will provide us with adequate protection against such liabilities.

On January 7, 2011, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas in a case captioned Massa v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 4:11CV70. On January 11, 2011, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in a case captioned Sylvia, et al. v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 1:11-cv-10054-MLW. On April 8, 2011, four complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The cases are captioned: Muniz v. Genentech, et al., 5:11-cv-11489-JCO-RSW; Tifenthal v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11488-DPH-LJM; Blair v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11463-SFC-MJH; and Marsh v. Genentech, et al., 2:11-cv-11462-RHC-MKM. These six complaints allege the same claims against Genentech, us and others and seek the same types of damages as the complaints filed in the Superior Court of Alameda County, California referenced above. Even though Genentech has agreed to indemnify us in connection with these matters, there can be no assurance that these or other products liability lawsuits will not result in liability to us or that our insurance or contractual arrangements will provide us with adequate protection against such liabilities.

On August 4, 2010, a petition was filed in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas in a case captioned McCall v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 10-09544. The defendants filed a Notice of Removal to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas on September 3, 2010. The removed case is captioned McCall v. Genentech, Inc., et al., No. 3:10-cv-01747-B. The parties have fully briefed the plaintiff's Motion to Remand and are awaiting a final ruling from the Court. The petition asserts personal injury claims against Genentech, us and others arising out of the plaintiff's treatment with RAPTIVA®. The petition alleges claims based on negligence, strict liability, misrepresentation and suppression, conspiracy, and actual and constructive fraud. The petition seeks compensatory damages and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. Even though Genentech has agreed to indemnify us in connection with this matter, there can be no assurance that this or other products liability lawsuits will not result in liability to us or that our insurance or contractual arrangements will provide us with adequate protection against such liabilities.

**The loss of key personnel, including our Chief Executive Officer, could delay or prevent achieving our objectives.**

Our research, product development and business efforts could be adversely affected by the loss of one or more key members of our scientific or management staff, particularly our executive officers: Steven B. Engle, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President; Patrick J. Scannon, M.D., Ph.D., our Executive Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer; Fred Kurland, our Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer; and Christopher J. Margolin, our Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. We currently have no key person insurance on any of our employees.

**A U.S. holder of our common shares or warrants could be subject to material adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences if we were considered to be a PFIC at any time during the U.S. holder's holding period.**

A non-U.S. corporation generally will be a "passive foreign investment company," or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes in any taxable year in which, after applying the relevant look-through rules with respect to the income and assets of its subsidiaries, either 75% or more of its gross income is "passive income" (generally including (without limitation) dividends, interest, annuities and certain royalties and rents not derived in the active conduct of a business) or the average value of its assets that produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income is at least 50% of the total value of its assets. In determining whether we meet the 50% test, cash is considered a passive asset and the total value of our assets generally will be treated as equal to the sum of the aggregate fair market value of our outstanding common shares plus our liabilities. If we own at least 25% (by value) of the stock of another corporation, we will be treated, for purposes of the PFIC tests, as owning our proportionate share of the other corporation's assets and receiving our proportionate share of the other corporation's income.

We believe that we were not a PFIC for the 2010 taxable year. However, because PFIC status is determined annually and depends on the composition of a company's income and assets and the fair market value of its assets (including goodwill), which may be volatile in our industry, there can be no assurance that we will not be considered a PFIC for 2011 or any subsequent year. For example, taking into account our existing cash balances, if the value of our common shares were to decline materially, it is possible that we could become a PFIC in 2011 or a subsequent year. Additionally, due to the complexity of the PFIC provisions and the limited authority available to interpret such provisions, there can be no assurance that our determination regarding our PFIC status could not be successfully challenged by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS").

If we were found to be a PFIC for any taxable year in which a U.S. holder (as defined below) held common shares or warrants, certain adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences could apply to such U.S. holder, including a recharacterization of any capital gain recognized on a sale or other disposition of common shares or warrants as ordinary income, ineligibility for any preferential tax rate otherwise applicable to any "qualified dividend income," a material increase in the amount of tax that such U.S. holder would owe and the possible imposition of interest charges, an imposition of tax earlier than would otherwise be imposed and additional tax form filing requirements.

For purposes of this discussion, the term "U.S. holder" means a beneficial owner of common shares or warrants that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, (i) an individual who is a U.S. citizen or resident, (ii) a corporation (or other entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia, (iii) an estate the income of which is includable in gross income for U.S. income tax purposes regardless of its source, or (iv) a trust, if a U.S. court is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. fiduciaries have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust, or if the trust has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations to be treated as a U.S. person. Special rules apply to a U.S. investor who owns our common shares or warrants through an entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

A U.S. holder owning shares in a PFIC (or a corporation that might become a PFIC) might be able to mitigate the adverse tax consequences of PFIC status by making certain elections, including "qualified electing fund" (a "QEF") or "mark-to-market" elections, if deemed appropriate based on guidance provided by the U.S. holder's tax advisor. However, it should be noted that (1) the beneficial effect of a QEF election or a mark-to-market election may be substantially diminished if such election is not made from the inception of a U.S. holder's holding period (a "Year One Election"), (2) neither a QEF election nor a mark-to-market election can be made with respect to warrants, (3) a Year One Election generally cannot be made for any common shares received upon exercise of warrants ("Warrant Shares") because the holding period of Warrant Shares is deemed, for QEF election and mark-to-market election purposes, to include the holding period of the underlying warrants but the QEF election or mark-to-market election will not be effective until the underlying warrants are exercised, and (4) a QEF election or a mark-to-market election is made on a shareholder-by-shareholder basis and, once made, can only be revoked with the consent of the IRS.

The PFIC rules are very complex, as are the requirements and effects of the various elections designed to mitigate the adverse consequences of the PFIC rules. A U.S. holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the PFIC rules, including the foregoing limitations on the ability to make a QEF election or a mark-to-market election (or to qualify either such election as a Year One Election), the timing requirements with respect to the various elections and the irrevocability of certain elections (absent the consent of the IRS).

As a result of a recent legislative change, a U.S. holder generally will be required to file IRS Form 8621 if the U.S. holder holds our common shares or warrants in any taxable year in which we are classified as a PFIC (whether or not a QEF or mark-to-market election is made).

**Our ability to use our net operating loss carry-forwards and other tax attributes will be substantially limited by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.**

Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, generally limits the ability of a corporation that undergoes an “ownership change” to utilize its net operating loss carry-forwards (“NOLs”) and certain other tax attributes against any taxable income in taxable periods after the ownership change. The amount of taxable income in each taxable year after the ownership change that may be offset by pre-change NOLs and certain other pre-change tax attributes is generally equal to the product of (a) the fair market value of the corporation’s outstanding shares immediately prior to the ownership change and (b) the long-term tax exempt rate (i.e., a rate of interest established by the IRS that fluctuates from month to month). In general, an “ownership change” occurs whenever the percentage of the shares of a corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by “5-percent shareholders” (within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code) increases by more than 50 percentage points over the lowest percentage of the share of such corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by such “5-percent shareholders” at any time over the preceding three years.

In 2009, we experienced an ownership change under Section 382, which subjects the amount of pre-change NOLs and tax credit carry-forwards that can be utilized to an annual limitation, which will substantially limit the future use of our pre-change NOLs and certain other pre-change tax attributes per year.

**Recently proposed legislation, if enacted, could subject us to U.S. federal income taxation as if we were a U.S. corporation.**

A bill recently introduced in the House of Representatives provides in certain instances that a foreign corporation would, for any taxable year beginning on or after the second anniversary of the bill’s enactment, be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal income taxes purposes if such corporation were managed and controlled primarily in the United States. If this bill were enacted in 2011 in its present form and we were to make no changes to our current management structure, we would likely be treated, beginning in 2014, as a U.S. corporation subject to U.S. federal income taxation on our worldwide income. There can be no assurance that the foregoing bill or another similar legislative proposal will not become law.

**Because we are a relatively small biopharmaceutical company with limited resources, we may not be able to attract and retain qualified personnel.**

Our success in developing marketable products and achieving a competitive position will depend, in part, on our ability to attract and retain qualified scientific and management personnel, particularly in areas requiring specific technical, scientific or medical expertise. We had approximately 240 employees as of May 3, 2011. We anticipate that we will require additional experienced executive, accounting, research and development, legal, administrative and other personnel in the future. There is intense competition for the services of these personnel, especially in California. Moreover, we expect that the high cost of living in the San Francisco Bay Area, where our headquarters and manufacturing facilities are located, may impair our ability to attract and retain employees in the future. If we do not succeed in attracting new personnel and retaining and motivating existing personnel, our operations may suffer and we may be unable to implement our current initiatives or grow effectively.

**Calamities, power shortages or power interruptions at our Berkeley headquarters and manufacturing facility could disrupt our business and adversely affect our operations, and could disrupt the businesses of our customers.**

Our principal operations are located in Northern California, including our corporate headquarters and manufacturing facility in Berkeley, California. In addition, many of our collaborators and licensees are located in California. All of these locations are in areas of seismic activity near active earthquake faults. Any earthquake, terrorist attack, fire, power shortage or other calamity affecting our facilities or our customers’ facilities may disrupt our business and could have material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

**We may be subject to increased risks because we are a Bermuda company.**

Alleged abuses by certain companies that have changed their legal domicile from jurisdictions within the United States to Bermuda have created an environment where, notwithstanding that we changed our legal domicile in a transaction that was approved by our shareholders and fully taxable to our company under United States law, we may be exposed to various prejudicial actions, including:

- “blacklisting” of our common shares by certain pension funds,
- legislation restricting certain types of transactions, and
- punitive tax legislation.

We do not know whether any of these things will happen, but if implemented one or more of them may have an adverse impact on our future operations or our share price.

**It may be difficult to enforce a judgment obtained against us because we are a foreign entity.**

We are a Bermuda company. All or a substantial portion of our assets, including substantially all of our intellectual property, may be located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for shareholders and others to enforce in United States courts judgments obtained against us. We have irrevocably agreed that we may be served with process with respect to actions based on offers and sales of securities made hereby in the United States by serving Christopher J. Margolin, c/o XOMA Ltd., 2910 Seventh Street, Berkeley, California 94710, our United States agent appointed for that purpose. Uncertainty exists as to whether Bermuda courts would enforce judgments of United States courts obtained in actions against us or our directors and officers that are predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the United States securities laws or entertain original actions brought in Bermuda against us or such persons predicated upon the United States securities laws. There is no treaty in effect between the United States and Bermuda providing for such enforcement, and there are grounds upon which Bermuda courts may not enforce judgments of United States courts. Certain remedies available under the United States federal securities laws may not be allowed in Bermuda courts as contrary to that nation's policy.

**Our shareholder rights agreement or bye-laws may prevent transactions that could be beneficial to our shareholders and may insulate our management from removal.**

In February of 2003, we adopted a new shareholder rights agreement (to replace the shareholder rights agreement that had expired), which could make it considerably more difficult or costly for a person or group to acquire control of us in a transaction that our Board of Directors opposes.

Our bye-laws:

- require certain procedures to be followed and time periods to be met for any shareholder to propose matters to be considered at annual meetings of shareholders, including nominating directors for election at those meetings;
- authorize our Board of Directors to issue up to 1,000,000 preference shares without shareholder approval and to set the rights, preferences and other designations, including voting rights, of those shares as the Board of Directors may determine; and
- contain provisions, similar to those contained in the Delaware General Corporation Law that may make business combinations with interested shareholders more difficult.

These provisions of our shareholders rights agreement and our bye-laws, alone or in combination with each other, may discourage transactions involving actual or potential changes of control, including transactions that otherwise could involve payment of a premium over prevailing market prices to holders of common shares, could limit the ability of shareholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests, and could make it considerably more difficult for a potential acquirer to replace management.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4. RESERVED**

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

**Exhibit  
Number**

<a href="#">31.1</a>	Certification of Steven B. Engle, filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<a href="#">31.2</a>	Certification of Fred Kurland, filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<a href="#">32.1</a>	Certification of Steven B. Engle, furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<a href="#">32.2</a>	Certification of Fred Kurland, furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<a href="#">99.1</a>	Press Release dated May 5, 2011, furnished herewith

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

XOMA Ltd.

Date: May 5, 2011

By: /s/ STEVEN B. ENGLE  
Steven B. Engle  
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President  
(principal executive officer)

Date: May 5, 2011

By: /s/ FRED KURLAND  
Fred Kurland  
Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer  
(principal financial officer and chief accounting officer)

**Certification**  
**Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002**  
**(Chapter 63, Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1350(A) And (B))**

I, Steven B. Engle, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of XOMA Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 5, 2011

/s/ STEVEN B. ENGLE

**Steven B. Engle**  
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President  
(principal executive officer)

**Certification**  
**Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002**  
**(Chapter 63, Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1350(A) And (B))**

I, Fred Kurland, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of XOMA Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f))) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 5, 2011

/s/ FRED KURLAND  

---

**Fred Kurland**  
Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer  
(principal financial officer)

**Certification**  
**Pursuant To Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002**  
**(Chapter 63, Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1350(A) And (B))**

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chapter 63, Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1350(a) and (b)), the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of XOMA Ltd. (the "Company") that the quarterly report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company at the end of and for the periods covered by such report.

Date: May 5, 2011

/s/ STEVEN B. ENGLE

---

**Steven B. Engle**  
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President  
(principal executive officer)

This certification will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

---

**Certification**  
**Pursuant To Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002**  
**(Chapter 63, Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1350(A) And (B))**

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chapter 63, Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1350(a) and (b)), the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of XOMA Ltd. (the "Company") that the quarterly report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company at the end of and for the periods covered by such report.

Date: May 5, 2011

/s/ FRED KURLAND

**Fred Kurland**

Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer  
(principal financial officer)

This certification will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

---



## XOMA Reports First Quarter 2011 Financial Results

---

BERKELEY, Calif., May 5, 2011 -- XOMA Ltd. (Nasdaq: XOMA), a leader in the discovery and development of therapeutic antibodies, today announced its financial results for the first quarter ended March 31, 2011 and provided a general business update.

XOMA had total revenues of \$15.6 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared with \$7.2 million in the first quarter of 2010. The increase in revenues in the 2011 period compared with the 2010 period was due primarily to funding from the company's collaborative partner Les Laboratoires Servier (Servier) for XOMA 052 development and increased funding under the company's contracts with the U.S. government for XOMA 3AB development.

XOMA had a net loss of \$6.3 million, or \$0.22 per share, for the first quarter of 2011, compared with a net loss of \$21.8 million, or \$1.36 per share, for the first quarter of 2010. Research and development expenses in the first quarter of 2011 were \$17.3 million as compared with \$17.6 million in the first quarter of 2010. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$5.4 million in the first quarter of 2011 as compared with \$5.6 million in the first quarter of 2010. At March 31, 2011, XOMA had cash and cash equivalents of \$56.9 million, compared with \$37.3 million at December 31, 2010.

"In the first quarter of 2011, we announced a strategic development and commercialization collaboration with Servier, a world-class pharmaceutical partner committed to advancing XOMA 052 in multiple indications including Behcet's uveitis and cardiometabolic diseases. Given its broad portfolio of medicines, Servier has the expertise that will help both companies unlock the potential of XOMA 052," said Steven B. Engle, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of XOMA. "In the largest clinical study of XOMA 052 to date, the biological activity and safety of XOMA 052 as an anti-inflammatory agent was demonstrated over six months in a 421 patient trial and in all four XOMA 052 dose levels compared to placebo. XOMA and Servier plan to initiate a Phase 3 program in Behcet's uveitis and a Phase 2 program in cardiovascular disease."

### Recent Highlights

- **Entered into strategic development and commercialization partnership for XOMA 052:** The agreement with Servier includes an upfront payment and loan totaling approximately \$35 million, potential milestone payments of approximately \$470 million, depending on the U.S. dollar/euro exchange rate at the time the milestones are achieved, and tiered royalties up to a mid-teens percentage rate. Servier has committed to fund 100% of the first \$50 million of Behcet's uveitis development and 50% of further development in this indication, and to fund development of XOMA 052 for cardiovascular disease and diabetes indications. This funding reduces the expenses XOMA may incur to advance XOMA 052.

XOMA has retained U.S. and Japanese development and commercialization rights to XOMA 052 for Behcet's uveitis and other inflammatory and oncology indications. Servier has worldwide rights to the diabetes and cardiovascular disease indications and rights outside the U.S. and Japan to other indications. XOMA has an option to reacquire rights to the diabetes and cardiovascular disease indications in the U.S. and Japan.

---

**Phase 2 studies of XOMA 052 show positive anti-inflammatory results and confirmed safety:** XOMA conducted two Phase 2 trials of XOMA 052 in Type 2 diabetes patients, a 421 patient Phase 2b trial and a 74 patient Phase 2a trial. XOMA 052 was well-tolerated in these trials, with no serious drug-related adverse events and a safety profile consistent with previous trials. The Phase 2b trial did not achieve the primary endpoint of reduction in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels after six monthly treatments with XOMA 052 compared with placebo. A modest reduction in HbA1c levels was observed at a three month interim review of the Phase 2a trial.

The potential for cardiovascular benefit with XOMA 052 was observed in both trials, with highly significant ( $p \leq 0.0005$ ) decreases in C-reactive protein (CRP), a biomarker for the risk of heart attack, stroke and other cardiovascular diseases, in all four Phase 2b dose groups versus placebo. A decrease in CRP also was observed in the Phase 2a trial, although the trial was designed primarily to evaluate safety and was not designed to demonstrate statistically significant differences in measures of biological activity. Also supporting the potential of XOMA 052 in cardiovascular disease, significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) improvements in high-density lipoprotein, or “good” cholesterol, were observed in two of four XOMA 052 dose groups versus placebo in the Phase 2b trial.

**XOMA 3AB Phase 1 trial to be initiated by NIAID:** The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, part of the National Institutes of Health, informed XOMA that it is initiating a Phase 1 trial of XOMA 3AB, a novel formulation of three antibodies designed to prevent and treat botulism poisoning, among the most deadly bioterror threats. This double-blind, dose-escalation study in approximately 24 healthy volunteers, is designed to assess the safety and tolerability and determine the pharmacokinetic profile of XOMA 3AB.

**XOMA 3AB results reported at national biodefense meeting:** Several presentations highlighted advances in the development of XOMA 3AB. These included an invited oral presentation describing the successful lyophilization of XOMA 3AB, and studies demonstrating the stability of this formulation over time stored at a wide range of temperatures. The presentations were made at the Fifth Annual Public Health Emergency Medical Countermeasures Enterprise (PHEMCE) Stakeholders Workshop in Washington, DC.

## Guidance

XOMA will not be providing specific guidance on overall revenues or cash receipts for 2011 so as to best manage its ongoing business development discussions and other activities. The company currently expects that cash used in operating activities in 2011 may range from \$30 million to cash neutral.

## Investor Conference Call and Webcast

XOMA will host a conference call and webcast today, May 5, 2011, at 4:30 p.m. ET. The webcast can be accessed via the Investors section of XOMA’s website at <http://investors.xoma.com/events.cfm> and will be available for replay until close of business on June 10, 2011. Telephone numbers for the live audio cast are 877-369-6589 (U.S./Canada) and 408-337-0122 (international). A telephonic replay will be available beginning approximately two hours after the conclusion of the call until close of business on May 12, 2011. Telephone numbers for the replay are 800-642-1687 (U.S./Canada) and 706-645-9291 (international), passcode 63534695.

---

## About XOMA

XOMA is a leader in the discovery and development of novel antibody therapeutics. The company's proprietary product pipeline includes:

- XOMA 052, a potentially best-in-class antibody that binds to the inflammatory cytokine interleukin-1 beta, or IL-1 beta. XOMA plans to enter XOMA 052 into Phase 3 clinical development in Behcet's uveitis, an orphan indication. XOMA 052 is in Phase 2 clinical development for diabetes with cardiovascular disease biomarkers. Les Laboratoires Servier is XOMA's development and commercialization partner for XOMA 052.
- XOMA 3AB, a novel combination of three antibodies in one product under development to prevent and treat botulism poisoning caused by exposure to botulinum neurotoxin Type A, among the most deadly bioterror threats. XOMA 3AB is under development through funding provided by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (Contract # HHSN266200600008C).
- A preclinical pipeline with candidates in development for autoimmune, cardio-metabolic, inflammatory and oncologic diseases.

XOMA has a premier antibody discovery and development platform that incorporates an unmatched collection of antibody phage display libraries and proprietary optimization and expression and manufacturing technologies that it uses for its own pipeline and in collaborations with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. XOMA technologies have contributed to the success of marketed antibody products including LUCENTIS® for wet age-related macular degeneration and CIMZIA® for rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn's disease. XOMA's fully integrated product development infrastructure extends from preclinical science to approval and is located in Berkeley, California. For more information, please visit [www.xoma.com](http://www.xoma.com).

The XOMA Ltd. logo is available at [www.globenewswire.com/newsroom/prs/?pkgid=5960](http://www.globenewswire.com/newsroom/prs/?pkgid=5960)

## Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained herein concerning anticipated levels of cash utilization, timing of initiation of clinical trials, or interim or other results of early-stage clinical trials, or that otherwise relate to future periods are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements are based on assumptions that may not prove accurate. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated due to certain risks inherent in the biotechnology industry and for companies engaged in the development of new products in a regulated market.

Among other things, the anticipated levels of cash utilization may be other than as expected due to unanticipated changes in XOMA's research and development programs, unavailability of additional arrangements or higher than anticipated transaction costs; the initiation of clinical trials may be delayed or may never occur as a result of actions or inaction by our present or future collaboration partners, complications in the design, implementation or third-party approval of clinical trials or unanticipated safety issues; results of early-stage clinical trials may not be supported by later findings, larger trials and/or other actions required for regulatory approval may not be economically feasible, and final results of clinical trials may in any event not be consistent with preclinical or interim results.

These and other risks, including those related to the generally unstable nature of current economic and financial market conditions; the results of discovery and pre-clinical testing; the timing or results of pending and future clinical trials (including the design and progress of clinical trials; safety and efficacy of the products being tested; action, inaction or delay by the FDA, European or other regulators or their advisory bodies; and analysis or interpretation by, or submission to, these entities or others of scientific data); changes in the status of existing collaborative or licensing relationships; the ability of collaborators, licensees and other third parties to meet their obligations and their discretion in decision-making; XOMA's ability to meet the demands of the United States government agency with which it has entered into its government contracts; competition; market demand for products; scale-up, manufacturing and marketing capabilities; availability of additional licensing or collaboration opportunities; international operations; share price volatility; XOMA's financing needs and opportunities; uncertainties regarding the status of biotechnology patents; uncertainties as to the costs of protecting intellectual property; and risks associated with XOMA's status as a Bermuda company, are described in more detail in XOMA's most recent filing on Form 10-K and in other SEC filings. Consider such risks carefully when considering XOMA's prospects.

---

**\*\* Tables Follow \*\***

XOMA Ltd.  
Company and Investor Contact:  
Carol DeGuzman  
510-204-7270  
deguzman@xoma.com

Canale Communications  
Media Contact:  
Carolyn Hawley  
619-849-5375  
carolyn@canalecomm.com

---

**XOMA Ltd.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(unaudited)  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Revenues:</b>		
License and collaborative fees	\$ 5,827	\$ 189
Contract and other revenue	9,661	6,811
Royalties	107	202
Total revenues	<u>15,595</u>	<u>7,202</u>
<b>Operating expenses:</b>		
Research and development	17,347	17,587
Selling, general and administrative	5,369	5,553
Total operating expenses	<u>22,716</u>	<u>23,140</u>
Loss from operations	(7,121)	(15,938)
<b>Other income (expense):</b>		
Investment and interest income	10	3
Interest expense	(532)	(87)
Other income (expense)	1,323	(5,763)
Net loss before taxes	(6,320)	(21,785)
Provision for income tax expense	(15)	-
Net loss	<u>\$ (6,335)</u>	<u>\$ (21,785)</u>
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	<u>\$ (0.22)</u>	<u>\$ (1.36)</u>
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per common share	<u>29,180</u>	<u>15,966</u>

**XOMA Ltd.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in thousands)

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,874	\$ 37,304
Trade and other receivables, net	10,233	20,864
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	465	712
Total current assets	<u>67,572</u>	<u>58,880</u>
Property and equipment, net	14,401	14,869
Other assets	503	503
Total assets	<u>\$ 82,476</u>	<u>\$ 74,252</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,746	\$ 3,581
Accrued liabilities	5,287	10,658
Deferred revenue	11,446	17,044
Warrant liabilities	1,854	4,245
Total current liabilities	<u>22,333</u>	<u>35,528</u>
Deferred revenue – long-term	9,427	1,086
Interest bearing obligation – long-term	26,241	13,694
Other long-term liabilities	272	353
Total liabilities	<u>58,273</u>	<u>50,661</u>
Shareholders' equity	24,203	23,591
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 82,476</u>	<u>\$ 74,252</u>